1	MINUTES OF MEETING	
2 3	HARMONY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT	
4		
5	A regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Harmony Community	
6	Development District was held on Thursday, October 28, 2021 at 6:00 p.m. at the Jones	
7	Model Home, 3285 Songbird Circle, St. Cloud Florida 34773	
8		
9 10	Present and constituting a quorum were:	
10	Teresa Kramer	Chairperson
12	Daniel Leet	Vice Chairperson
13	Steve Berube	Assistant Secretary
14	Kerul Kassel	Assistant Secretary
15	Mike Scarborough	Assistant Secretary
16		
17	Also present were:	
18	_	
19	Angel Montagna	District Manager
20	Gerhard van der Snel	Field Supervisor
21	Tim Qualls	District Counsel
22 23	David Hamstra Scottie Feliciano	District Engineer Servello
23 24	Pete Betancourt	Servello
25	Brett Perez	Field Director
26	Julie Yevich	Assistant District Manager
27	june revien	rissistant District Manager
28	CHAIRWOMAN OPENING REMARKS	
29	Spv. Kramer:	
30	Good evening, everyone. Welcome to the October meeting of the Harmony CDD. Welcome. A few	
31	housekeeping items really quickly. First, this is our first time in this meeting location. If you do	
32	need to use the facilities, the one up front is set up for you. The one back here, you'll be	
33	disappointed. So please use the one up	o front.
34	Spv. Berube:	
35	We'll be what? Disappointed?	
36	Spv. Kramer:	
37	Yes.	
38	Spv. Berube:	

39 Okay. 40 Spv. Kramer: I want to welcome you all. I do ask that everybody be respectful. We've had some trouble talking 41 42 over each other. We want to be sure to be able to get everybody's information on the record. It's hard for our transcribers to get the accurate information if we're talking over each other. If you all 43 44 will help me with that, I would appreciate it. 45 46 FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS Call to Order/Roll Call 47 Spv. Kramer: First order of business is our roll call. Supervisor Berube? 48 49 Spv. Berube: 50 Here. 51 Spv. Kramer: 52 Supervisor Leet? 53 Spv. Leet: 54 Here. 55 Spv. Kramer: 56 Supervisor Scarborough? 57 Spv. Scarborough: 58 Here. Spv. Kramer: 59 60 Supervisor Kassel? Spv. Kassel: 61 62 Present. 63 Spv. Kramer: And myself, Supervisor Kramer, we're all present. 64 65 66 **Audience Comments** 67 **SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS** 68 Spv. Kramer:

- 69 Second order of business is our audience comments. Audience comments are limited to three
- 70 minutes per speaker. If there is a large group that wants to speak on one single issue, we ask that
- you nominate someone. Then everybody else can stand up to show that they're in agreement with
- that individual. That way it expedites the meeting. We do have one written request. Kim Tobey, if
- 73 you'll step forward. Do we have a microphone?
- 74 Kimberly Tobey:
- 75 Microphone?
- 76 Spv. Kassel:
- 77 For her. To record what you're saying for the minutes.
- 78 Spv. Kramer:
- We need to record. If not, you need to come up here closer to the recorder. I'll need your name and
- 80 address for the record.
- 81 Kimberly Tobey:
- 82 Sure. It's Kimberly Tobey for the record. 3166 Dark Sky Drive in Harmony.
- 83 Kimberly Tobey:
- 84 All right. First of all, good evening everyone. Thank you so much for coming. My husband is
- 85 Christopher, back there. You're all aware of why we're here.
- 86 I sent in a letter explaining what happened to our dog in one of our dog parks on October 4. You
- have pictures to go along with that. I have enlarged photos with me should anybody else here need
- 88 to see them, to show the severity of his injury.
- We have gone to the Harmony Dog Park five to six months or so from getting our puppy. Never had
- any issues until that evening. Unfortunately, that incident opened our eyes to the fact that rules
- may need to be amended and if nothing else enforced. My question would certainly be how are
- rules enforced? I say that because we're there often and see young children with their dogs.
- They're supposed to be 16 unless they're with an adult. In fact, it says kids under nine can't even
- enter the dog park, which happens all the time. If I had a six-year-old and a puppy I would hope I
- would be able to go in. That's not one that I have a huge issue with, aside from the fact that, based
- on what happened to us, my fear is this could happen to a child.
- I did notice the dog park rules are posted in the small park, but not the large.
- 98 Spv. Kassel:
- 99 They used to be.
- 100 Kimberly Tobey:
- Okay. If we could please get those there. To me that's a pretty big thing. You don't know what the
- rules are if you can't read them upon entering.

103 My main goal is enforcement. And to see if there's anything that the Board would be willing to put

- into place to either restrict dogs who are aggressive, and by aggressive I mean biting another dog
- or child in the dog park. Animal services doesn't deem this dog who attacked mine aggressive right
- 106 now. If a dog is in there and bites an animal or another dog or a child or an adult, do we allow them
- to keep going? Because right now they can.
- 108 It was suggested that they have a color-coded system alerting people. My dog is totally playful,
- green. Warning if yellow. Red, they're aggressive. Unfortunately, I found with this particular owner,
- he wasn't honest at all to me. We can't rely on the honesty of the dog owners because they aren't
- always. I don't know what the answer is. You have my suggestions to work with. We just hope that
- we will see enforcement. Rules posted in the large park. And somehow eliminating or at least for a
- certain period of time aggressive dogs.
- 114 Spv. Kassel:
- We have an item on the agenda for later in the meeting.
- 116 Kimberly Tobey:
- 117 Perfect. Okay. I think that's it. Thank you so much.
- 118 Spv. Kramer:
- 119 Thank you so much. All right. That's Ms. Tobey.
- 120 Spv. Kramer:
- 121 I do not have any other written requests for speaking. Is there anyone else that would like to speak
- 122 at the meeting?
- 123 Reinaldo Milliam:
- 124 I would like to say something about what she just said.
- 125 Spv. Kramer:
- 126 If you'll stand up? I need your name and address for the record.
- 127 Reinaldo Milliam:
- 128 Yes. I am Reinaldo Milliam. I live in 7177 Oakglen Trail in the Estates. My dog and my daughter
- suffer the same thing with the same dog. I was one in the Facebook that approached you and said,
- "Hey, the same thing happens to me." I talked to the neighbors. He's actually my neighbor. I told
- him, "Hey, you cannot." He's got a big yard and he'll let his dog roam around his backyard. I don't
- mind that. He allows his dog to cross around and go around. My daughter was walking my dog in
- front of his house on the leash when his two big dogs went and attacked my dog and my daughter.
- There's something wrong with him. I spoke to him. I gave him the bill. "Here. You're going to pay
- for this." After going a couple times to his house and making pay for the bill... He doesn't care. He's
- my neighbor as well. He's having the same issue. It's just that he doesn't care.

137 She said it correctly. It needs to be enforced. It needs to be... All the neighbors need to know, "Hey,

- walk them on the leash." Not just having a notice. If they see it, they go around it. If they see it, they
- enforce it. That's just not happening.
- 140 Spv. Kramer:
- 141 Okay.
- 142 Spv. Berube:
- To be clear. This happened in front of your house, not in the dog park. Is that correct?
- 144 Reinaldo Milliam:
- 145 That happened in front of his house, but not in his yard.
- 146 Spv. Berube:
- 147 I understand.
- 148 Reinaldo Milliam:
- I was on the street on the other side, when his dog was on the outside running around and went
- 150 chasing my dog and attacked my dog and my daughter.
- 151 Spv. Kramer:
- 152 It's happening outside of the dog park.
- 153 Spv. Berube:
- 154 It's a people problem, not a dog problem.
- 155 Reinaldo Milliam:
- 156 I have video showing his dog going around on street and he doesn't care.
- 157 Spv. Kramer:
- 158 All right
- 159 Reinaldo Milliam:
- 160 The matter is enforcing this thing.
- 161 Spv. Kassel:
- 162 Thank you so much.
- 163 Tom Gorecki:
- 164 Teresa-
- 165 Spv. Kramer:

- 166 Yes?
- 167 Tom Varacky:
- I just have a couple. One is an easy one. Is there going to be a sale of any kind?
- 169 Spv. Kramer:
- 170 If you'll step forward here and give me your name and address for the record.
- 171 Tom Varacky:
- 172 I'm sorry. Tom Varacky. 3470 Sagebrush.
- 173 Spv. Kramer:
- 174 Thank you.
- 175 Tom Varacky:
- 176 The first question. Is there going to be a sale the first Saturday in November? Porch sale, yard sale?
- 177 Spv. Kassel:
- 178 That's the HOA, not the CDD.
- 179 Spv. Kramer:
- 180 We're not involved with that.
- 181 Tom Varacky:
- Okay. How about the issue with contractors being here at 4:30 in the morning?
- 183 Spv. Kramer:
- 184 Again, we don't regulate contractors.
- 185 Spv. Kassel:
- 186 That would probably be the Sheriff's Office.
- 187 Spv. Kassel:
- 188 Yeah.
- 189 Spv. Berube:
- 190 Code Enforcement.
- 191 Spv. Kramer:
- 192 Okay.
- 193 Tom Varacky:

194 Well, what they told me. I did talk to the sheriff. He said that my strongest link is the community

- organizations here. To file a complaint with code enforcement rather than just me calling as an
- individual. You calling as an individual. His recommendation is that the leader of every group needs
- to contact code enforcement. I don't know if anybody knows the code, but they're not allowed to
- start work before seven o'clock in the morning.
- 199 Spv. Kramer:
- We have no control of your gate codes. That's all your Homeowner's Association.
- 201 Spv. Kassel:
- Where do you live?
- 203 Tom Varacky:
- 204 On Sagebrush.
- 205 Spv. Kramer:
- Here in the Lakes.
- 207 Spv. Kassel:
- 208 Oh, here in the Lakes. Yeah. That's your Homeowner's Association.
- 209 Spv. Berube:
- There's the code for time. Not a gate code. Seven o'clock is the county code.
- 211 Spv. Kassel:
- The county code.
- 213 Spv. Scarborough:
- 214 Yeah.
- 215 Spv. Kramer:
- The county code. We can't get into a lot of back and forth. The more residents call, you get a better
- 217 bang for your buck.
- 218 Tom Varacky:
- 219 I talked to you last night, I think. We've been here two and a half months and we still don't
- 220 understand the organization. What goes on here.
- 221 Spv. Kramer:
- 222 It gets very confusing.
- 223 Tom Varacky:

224 All we do is... 225 Spv. Kassel: 226 If you contact me. If you get my number from this lady, my email address from this lady, I'll be 227 happy to explain our different bodies to you and what they do. 228 Unidentified Resident: 229 Just for that the gentleman's information. Our Homeowner's Association. I believe that is meeting on the first of November at six o'clock. 230 231 Spv. Kramer: 232 I appreciate that. We can't do a lot of this because we have an absolutely huge agenda. If you need 233 to share something personal, if you'll step outside and do that, I'd appreciate it. Any other 234 comments for the CDD. 235 Spv. Kassel: 236 Audience comments. 237 Spv. Kramer: 238 Audience comments. Okay. Hearing none we'll close the audience comments section. 239 Spv. Kramer: 240 We're now going on to our business. In our business agenda, if you reviewed it on the posted website, you'll see that some of the issues discussed in the comments will be addressed later on in 241 242 the meeting itself. 243 244 245 THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS **Subtractor's Reports** 246 247 Servello 248 Spv. Kramer: 249 The next item on our agenda is our subcontractor report. Servello? 250 Mr. Betancourt: We're into our fall-winter schedule. Bi-weekly service mowing the lawn. On top of that, the 251 252 gentleman that was here last month about the weeds. Tall weeds-Spv. Kramer: 253 254 In the ponds.

255

Mr. Betancourt:

In the ponds. We have started that. Those have been done. November 1 I'm sending the guys out to

- do the ones in Lakes of Harmony then we'll continue throughout the property.
- 258 Mr. Betancourt:
- 259 The mainline breaks have been fixed. Grasses will be done throughout the winter. As we work a
- section and detail it, we'll do all the grasses.
- 261 Spv. Kramer:
- 262 Wonderful.
- 263 Mr. Betancourt:
- 264 Okay.
- 265 Spv. Berube:
- You say the mainline break. Do you mean the one on Cat Brier?
- 267 Mr. Betancourt:
- 268 Cat Brier and Town Square. But the one on Cat Brier, the one I sent out the proposal on has been
- 269 fixed. When I turned on the water, there's another break further down the line. So the water's still
- off on Cat Briar.
- 271 Spv. Kramer:
- Luckily we're getting rain, so that's good. That will be addressed next.
- 273 Mr. Betancourt :
- 274 Yes.
- 275 Spv. Kramer:
- 276 Okay.
- 277 Spv. Kassel:
- I do have a question. You said we're into the every other week mowing season?
- 279 Mr. Betancourt:
- 280 Bi-weekly, yes.
- 281 Spv. Kassel:
- 282 I thought that was November.
- 283 Mr. Betancourt:
- 284 It starts October 15.

- 285 Spv. Kassel:
- Oh, okay. Just as an FYI for the next scope of services for landscaping. When we go for RFP, I'm
- 287 going to request that we do dog park mowing weekly because we get some seeds. I just took a
- 288 picture and I don't know if you saw it, Angel and Gerhard. There's certain weeds: Elliot's Milkpea
- and Tropical Milkweed. The dogs get covered with these weeds. One trip through the park and
- 290 you're spending an hour getting these seeds out every day.
- 291 Mr. Feliciano:
- Okay. Both dogs parks? Okay. At the dog parks, just mow weekly.
- 293 Mr. Betancourt:
- 294 Okay.
- 295 Spv. Kassel:
- 296 Thank you.
- 297 Mr. Betancourt:
- 298 If you see the dog park hasn't been mowed, either one. When we went to mow them, there were
- 299 people with the dogs there. So we tend to let it go. Then we'll come back the following day. I know
- 300 the big dog park wasn't mowed, so I'll take the mower tomorrow and mow it out. We had people
- 301 there by the time we got there.
- 302 Spv. Kramer:
- 303 Great.
- 304 Spv. Kassel:
- 305 Thanks.
- 306 Spv. Kramer:
- 307 Okay. Thank you. Anything else?
- 308 Mr. Feliciano:
- Anything from me? I'm normally the guy you all beat up. I bring up the electrical line On Cat Brier.
- Huge mistake on our part. We should have called in Locates. Don't know why we didn't call in
- Locates for that. Huge mistake on my part. I'm paying close to \$7,000 for that mistake on our
- 312 behalf.
- 313 The reason why. The area where we lifted the electrical line. The electric company said that he can
- do that area right around \$3,000. But when he was tracking the line all the way down to the gazebo,
- apparently, they are shorting all the way down. The line is short all the way going down. They've
- either been nipped, or something's being corroded in those lines. So, I said, "Go ahead and do an
- entire job." We're going to yank out the line for the gazebo, even though we had nothing to do with
- that section. We're going to pull all the electrical lines out. We're going to have them do everything,
- all the way back to the junction box.

- 320 Spv. Kassel:
- I have a question. Might it not be less expensive than repairing all these main line breaks over and
- over, to just put a new irrigation line between the trees and the sidewalk?
- 323 Mr. Feliciano:
- 324 It's going to probably cost even more, to be honest with you. Where the lines are located, where
- you've got to pull the main lines out. You don't know what else is running. What other lines are
- 326 there? There are other lines that we found out that are running in these locations.
- 327 You're talking about removing lines, not just electrical lines. Probably optic fiber, communication
- lines, all that stuff. It's in there. You're talking revamping everything. You're just going to have to
- 329 repair them.
- The one thing we will not do with a mainline break, we will not put it above ground. That's
- 331 something we don't do. We'll bring it out. That's what happened with this part. We brought it out
- and that's where we hit the electrical on that. We will bring it out and try to go around the root
- 333 system of the trees. We don't put it on top because is a huge liability for you guys.
- 334 Spv. Berube:
- That's been SOP with all of these is go around. The well round irrigation and such has been an open
- hole. I guess it was dug by you on Indian Grass or near the entrance to the Estates, in front of the
- 337 big open field.
- 338 Mr. Feliciano:
- 339 Did we do something like that?
- 340 Mr. Van der Snel:
- 341 Maybe I can intervene. That is a TOHO.
- 342 Mr. Betancourt:
- I understood it as a mainline break. I shut off the meter. I had the irrigation tech dig it up. When he
- dug it up, water was still coming out. And that's when I called Gerhard and it's Toho.
- 345 Mr. Van der Snel:
- 346 I reported it to TOHO.
- 347 Mr. Feliciano:
- 348 Is it at least cordoned off?
- 349
- 350 Mr. Betancourt:
- 351 It's marked off with caution tape.
- 352 Spv. Scarborough:

353 Are those electrical lines just not deep? There should be 36 inches, right? Or is the irrigation too 354 deep? 355 Spv. Berube: 356 Not even close. 357 Spv. Scarborough: 358 For what? 359 Spv. Berube: 360 The electric line is no more than 15 inches below the surface. 361 Spv. Scarborough: 362 Interesting. 363 Spv. Kramer: 364 We have interesting construction. Any other questions of Servello at this time? 365 Spv. Kassel: Just that we have, I think a proposal in the agenda, don't we? 366 367 Spv. Kramer: 368 Yes. At the end. I'm not sure why it ended up back in a field services report. There was a proposal to add a ground cover-369 370 Spv. Kassel: 371 Liriope. 372 Spv. Kramer: 373 Liriope? On Cat Brier. There were two proposals. One to do half the street of Cat Brier and the other 374 to do the whole street. I've been going back and forth on Cat Brier. Really the only place where the St. Augustine's looking really poorly is about the 3330 Cat Brier. There are about three houses 375 376 where it's pretty bare in there, but the rest of it's not looking horrible. 377 Mr. Feliciano:

- 378 It's a very shaded area that you're talking about.
- 379 Spv. Kramer:
- 380 It's very shaded right there, but the St. Augustine seems to be thriving.
- 381 Mr. Feliciano:

Well, what I did. When I walk Cat Brier, when I'm looking at those areas. Some of it that

- looks like turf, that's around the entire tree. It's not. It's all weeds. You're not going to get
- turf to grow in those areas. We went before and we actually put down turf for free and it all
- died out. It's too shady over there. And root composition.
- 386 Spv. Kramer:
- 387 Unfortunately, the leaves are sitting on it and blocking it out.
- 388 Mr. Feliciano:
- 389 It's mostly root competition where they put oak tree.
- 390 Spv. Kassel:
- 391 Yeah.
- 392 Spv. Berube:
- 393 Yeah, the roots are a problem.
- 394 Spv. Kassel:
- 395 I had a question about that quote. It's Option One or Option Two. They were added together instead
- 396 of...
- 397 Mr. Feliciano:
- Yeah, I don't know what she did in the system. One option was to do all the trees along Cat Brier.
- 399 The second option was in trees in need of Liriopi grass now. So those were deemed the trees where
- 400 you didn't have St. Augustine around them. They were either weeds or no sod whatsoever.
- 401 Spv. Kramer:
- 402 Okay. So are you talking about just around the trees or along the entire Right-of-Way?
- 403 Mr. Feliciano:
- 404 Around the trees. Now you do have some areas of sod in that one area. We can propose Seville in
- 405 those areas, but you only going to get so much life out of Seville in those areas. We could measure
- out that whole strip, that one strip you're talking about, and we can do probably Asiatic Jasmine or
- something to go in there. Just to have a ground cover.
- 408 Spv. Kramer:
- Yeah. It seems to me the homeowner had done that on the front yard side, put in the Asiatic
- 410 Jasmine.
- 411 Spv. Kassel:
- 412 Are we talking about the east side or the west side of the Cat Brier? The park side, the golf course
- 413 side or the residences?

- 414 Mr. Feliciano:
- The home side. Mostly there. You're going into the winter season. As soon as these oak trees start
- dropping leaves. The first thing the residents do is blow everything right from sidewalk to curb. We
- have to pick that up, typically. That's a few months, because you're not just going to get one
- 418 flushing out of leaves. You're getting probably three flushings.
- 419 Spv. Kramer:
- 420 My concern is that if we put the liriope in and the leaves aren't being removed, will the liriope
- 421 actually survive.
- 422 Mr. Feliciano:
- Well, they're going to sit less on the liriope, anywhere we pull them out around the trees, than they
- 424 would in the jasmine. If we do jasmine around these trees, it's going to take a lot longer to get
- established unless you compact the jasmine down in there. They're the same price. Plus, with the
- sod area, if we do jasmine on the sod areas, we're going to give you a hefty number in Asiatic
- 427 Jasmine, because we want that stuff to take off.
- 428 Brett can elaborate. He knows what I'm talking about in that area.
- 429 Spv. Kassel:
- 430 I'd like to...
- 431 Spv. Berube:
- The jasmine looks wonderful when you put it in. But once it starts to decline, it's a maintenance
- 433 nightmare. It's all intertwined and dies off. When it's fresh-Beautiful, like a carpet. A year later,
- 434 might as well put the sod.
- 435 Mr. Feliciano:
- 436 It depends on how you take care of it. We have multiple properties where we use jasmine as
- 437 ground covers, all throughout Vista Lakes, along the medians and stuff. We have jasmine ground
- covers and they're sitting up that high.
- 439 Spv. Kramer:
- 440 It depends on how you care for it.
- 441 Spv. Kassel:
- Right. I have plenty of it by me and they're doing great.
- 443 Mr. Feliciano:
- What happens is that people do not put enough. So then now it's entangled with weeds and
- everything else and it looks horrible.
- 446 Spv. Kassel:

- I'm sorry. I'd like to, if we do this here-
- 448 Spv. Kramer:
- Hold on a minute. Will everybody take out your cell phones and turn them off?
- 450 Spv. Kassel:
- 451 Or at least silence them.
- 452 Spv. Kramer:
- Otherwise, we can't have conversations and things going on. I appreciate your cooperation in being
- able to conduct the meeting. I'm sorry. Supervisor Kassel.
- 455 Spv. Kassel:
- 456 Thanks. If we do this here... On Cat Brier are some of the oldest trees in Harmony. The other trees
- are going to age. If we do this here, we're going to have to do something elsewhere. I'd like us to
- have a more comprehensive idea of how we're going to deal with this moving forward, rather than
- 459 patchwork, not just "We'll put this there and deal with what comes next."
- 460 Spv. Kramer:
- Okay. Maybe as we go forward in doing a larger plan for our older trees then we put a full plan
- 462 together. So table this proposal?
- 463 Spv. Kassel:
- 464 Yes, sorry to say.
- 465 Mr. Feliciano:
- 466 No, that's fine!
- 467 Spv. Kassel:
- I want something that's a little longer term in thought than just plopping whatever we're going to
- put there in this one spot. We're going to have this problem all over the place.
- 470 Mr. Feliciano:
- 471 You're exactly right. You're going to have this issue throughout Harmony. Brett and I talk pretty
- often. We can get together and we can come up with a plan.
- 473 Spv. Kassel:
- Yeah. I'm happy to go on site with you, as long as I'm available. We'll make a date and a time. I'll
- 475 meet you there. We'll take a look. I'll be happy to.
- 476 Mr. Feliciano:
- 477 Absolutely.

- 478 Spv. Kramer:
- Wonderful. All right. We'll put you on it. Thank you.
- 480 Spv. Kramer:
- 481 Any other questions for Servello at this point?
- 482 Spv. Berube:
- 483 Where are we with the tree trimming?
- 484 Spv. Kramer:
- 485 Tree trimming. Do you want to address?
- 486 Mr. Feliciano:
- Yeah, they halted the tree trimming. They gave me an option of the percentage that they want to
- pay and percentage discounted for some of the trees. I agreed to everything. We're going to invoice
- it per the email I got from Brett.
- 490 Spv. Berube:
- We're not going back to tree trimming.
- 492 Spv. Kramer:
- No, not right now. We're going to move forward with our arborist. The resolution that you'd
- authorized Brett and I to work out with Servello. The tree trimming has stopped. It was
- approximately 85% complete which dropped it to \$19,040. We took a further 25% discount off that
- 496 for improper techniques and some damages. So, we will pay Servello a total of \$14,280 instead of
- 497 original \$22,400.
- 498 Mr. Feliciano:
- The remaining trees are all small. They don't really need it right now.
- 500 Spv. Kramer:
- At this time, we've resolved that concern and we're moving on.
- 502 Mr. Feliciano:
- 503 Is that it?
- 504 Spv. Kramer:
- 505 Anything further? Okay. Thank you so much.
- 506 Spv. Kassel:
- Just a question about that. For all intents and purposes, tree trimming is done for now?

- 508 Spv. Kramer:
- The arborist is looking into it and working with Brett, who is our Area Field Services Supervisor.
- They are putting together an overall tree trimming plan or tree maintenance plan for the entire
- 511 neighborhood. Instead of handling one side of the tree, the street side of the tree, they're putting a
- 512 plan together where we will take care of the entire tree. They are seeing the trees. We need to make
- 513 sure that they are not causing our residents problems with maintaining their residences or getting
- 514 their insurance policies.
- 515 Spv. Leet:
- Real quick. Madame Chair, we have a Zoom issue.
- 517 Marylin Ash-Mower:
- We have 12 people who can't hear a thing or see a thing. That was my phone call, sorry for the
- 519 interruption.
- 520 Spv. Leet:
- Okay. Jones Homes is putting in actual WiFi for future meetings. It was not in place in time. We're
- doing the best we can off a phone hotspot right now. The other thing is we really need to have
- another audience mic for speakers up here. We have the lapel mics that are picking us up.
- 524 Spv. Kramer:
- 525 But they're not hearing the audience?
- 526 Spv. Leet:
- 527 Right.
- 528 Marylin Ash-Mower:
- 529 They're not hearing anything.
- 530 Spv. Leet:
- We tested. We appear to be online and Zoom video and everything. We can see it up here. I think
- the issues that we have. One it's on a hotspot, so it's limited in how smooth everything looks. Also
- not having an audience mic that might not be picking up.
- 534 Resident:
- 535 Okay. So can they listen in?
- 536 Spv. Leet:
- They should be able to. They'll be hard to hear unless someone has a lapel mic. If you're hearing it
- on that speaker, then you're hearing it on computer too.
- 539 Marylin Ash-Mower:

- 540 Okay.
- 541 Spv. Leet:
- 542 It will be improved for our next meeting.
- Marylin Ash-Mower:
- All right. So, tell them there's no...
- 545 Spv. Leet:
- We're doing best we can do right now. It's all being recorded. So, transcript and everything can be
- provided after the fact. We're doing the best we can.
- 548 Marylin Ash-Mower:
- 549 Okay. Thank you.
- 550 Spv. Kramer:
- Okay. All right. We'll move on to the fourth item on our agenda. The consent agenda.
- 552 DM Montagna:
- 553 Can I just make one comment before we get into that?
- 554 Spv. Kramer:
- 555 Certainly.
- 556 DM Montagna:
- 557 The minutes came out. The first set of minutes. Our computers crashed, technological stuff. It
- duplicated 51 pages. Dan removed that. It's not in the format that you're used to seeing them in.
- That will all go back to normal next month. I just wanted to get that out of the way before we go
- down that rabbit hole.
- 561 Spv. Kramer:
- Yes, I must admit. Angel and I were scurrying at the last minute. We were both listening to
- recordings and finishing up the minutes. I think starting on page 56 or something of the previous is
- better. It's the near verbatim, instead of verbatim. Nobody wants to hear or see themselves
- verbatim.
- 566 DM Montagna:
- 567 That's right.
- 568 Spv. Kassel:
- That's right. It's in the verbatim minutes.

- 570 Spv. Kramer:
- It gets really difficult to read past the uhm's and the ah's and the repetitions. But I think we've all
- 572 experienced that. So thank you for that.

573

## 574 **FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

## **Consent Agenda**

- 575 Supv. Kramer:
- 576 So the consent agenda has the approval of our regular September 30th monthly minutes, approval
- of our September workshop minutes, our financial statements for September 30th and approval for
- our number 257 invoices check registry. 258, my apologies. 258 invoices and check registries. And
- we also have assigning the fund balances. So, if there are no comments concerning those, I would...
- 580 Yes?
- 581 Spv. Kassel:
- I had reached out to Gerhard to ask about some Toho bills, which are four or five times what they
- were last month than the previous months. And I was referred to Servello who left. So, I should
- have asked when they were here.
- 585 Spv. Kramer:
- Okay. I can talk about that briefly only because I keep watching them so closely and I do have my
- little spreadsheet here too. But I've got to get a better way to do it. But what we've got is last year at
- this time, it was wetter than this year. Again, we have the wet, dry cycles. The bill that we're
- looking at here, which is \$15,813 which is down from some of the \$19 and \$20,000 bills we've had,
- but it's still higher than the about \$4,000 we had last month, is because the month that it was for
- was extremely dry. So, we had a very, very dry month. We also had some mainline breaks that
- caused some loss of water. This time last year, our bill was \$9,821. So that's comparison. But last
- year the same month, and again, we have rainfall data for a couple years back, it was a lot wetter
- than that month this year. Our cycles that are wet came a little earlier this year and we got a little
- dryer earlier. So, luckily, we're back in a short, wet period-
- 596 Spv. Berube:
- Yeah, two or three in a row that were really down low because Maxicom essentially was off
- because of all the rain. Now, Maxicom came back on because the rain... And you're back to the
- 599 normal cycling.
- 600 Spv. Kramer:
- And again, Maxicom is always on. It's just that Servello had placed the rain sensors in, they went
- through the neighborhood. Our bills were running in the tens of thousands for month after month
- after month, rain or shine or regardless of what it was. So, they were really high. Servello came in,
- they replaced all those rain sensors so that we would be in compliance with county code as well as
- good water management practices and good fiscal practices. We want to save that money. So, for
- the last two bills, our bills were under \$4,000 a month. We've gotten a really dry period and you
- the last two bins, our bins were under \$1,000 a month. We ve gotten a really dry period and you
- can go back and look at your own Toho bills and see the same thing that if your rain sensor is
- working, your irrigation didn't run for two months, your bills are way down. Now it's back up. It'll

609 be back up again the next month and because we have entered the dry season. I wish they would all 610 stay down. So, that's where we are with that. Any other questions about the consent agenda? 611 Hearing none. 612 Spv. Kassel: 613 Move to approve. 614 Spv. Kramer: 615 I have a motion to approve the consent agenda. Do I hear a second? 616 617 Spv. Scarborough: Second 618 619 620 Spv. Kramer: 621 I hear a motion and a second, all in favor? Any opposition? Hearing none, passes 622 unanimously. 623 On MOTION by Spv. Kassel, SECOND by Spv. Scarborough 624 625 The consent agenda was unanimously APPROVED 626 627 628 629 **Old Business** FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS 630 Supv. Kramer: 631 The next order of business, old business. We've been discussing our Harmony pool renovations and we have several proposals. We have three main proposals. SPIES Pool Company, Pool Specialists 632 633 and Bluescape Pools. I'll open the floor to any motions or discussions concerning the pool renovations. 634 635 Spv. Berube: Considering the extent of that and how important it is, it almost begs for a workshop because this 636 discussion can get complicated. There's a lot of differences. You're talking about doing two pools. 637 We've got a big agenda, we're already half an hour in. I'd prefer to workshop the pool renovation 638 639 and maybe some of the other stuff that's coming up, but that's just my opinion. 640 641 Spv. Kassel: 642 Would that be a workshop? Wouldn't that be a regular meeting because it requires Board 643 approval? We don't...

644

Spv. Berube:

Well, it's just to discuss all the various options that are included here and not take any action

- obviously. But I suspect you're going to spend a significant amount of time once you dig into these
- proposals but...
- 648 Spv. Kramer:
- 649 I'll leave it up to the rest of the Board. It seemed fairly straightforward to me. My only concern is
- 650 that I would like to see more of their, either qualifications or experience, what pools they've done. I
- checked one of their references. I tried to check several references, but didn't get anything back
- except for the one, and that was for SPIES. And they gave an excellent reference and that
- 653 individual's a property manager for a number of organizations. And they had had excellent work
- with SPIES. I'll leave it up to the Board. Do you want... Is anybody ready to make a decision tonight
- or do you want to spend more time studying it?
- 656 DM Montagna:
- And Julie can answer anything. She talked to all of them so if you have questions or need anything.
- 658 Spv. Kassel:
- So, we have a spreadsheet and Julie, if you did that, thank you very much.
- 660 Iulie:
- 661 You're welcome. I like colors.
- 662 Spv. Kassel:
- How urgent is this work to be done?
- 664 Julie:
- So, I did have a conversation with the gentleman from SPIES today and he said that you need to
- make a decision because the time to do it is in the wintertime when it's not being used a lot and to
- get on their schedule, you need to make a decision soon. They've already got a couple lined up and
- they said, SPIES specifically said, if you give them a direction that you're going in, they can pencil
- you in. But, I understand how long it takes to do a pool. It takes at least two to three months
- because you have cure times and the code to meet and inspections and stuff. So, you want to decide
- when you want this to be completed by and then make your decision.
- 672 DM Montagna:
- And to my knowledge, there is visibly stuff going on.bad on those pools and it is leaking.
- 674 Spv. Berube:
- This is not an emergency, but it should be a pretty close in priorities.
- 676 Spv. Kramer:
- I'm comfortable today in looking at what we have in front of us. I know there are a lot of different
- options, but SPIES has been working on our pool for quite a while. Gerhard, unless I hear
- differently from you, I understand they've been doing a good job.

- 680 Mr. Van der Snel:
- Yes. SPIES is very... They're in business for 32 years and they've been doing very, very great.
- They're highly recommended and they really know what they're doing. So-
- 683 Spv. Kramer:
- And there are different options, but for Ashley Park it looks like everybody's right around \$23,000.
- SPIES does have an add on for LED lights, which would bump it up another couple of thousand. But
- for the Ashley Park pool, everybody is basically right in the same ballpark. The Swim Club, SPIES is
- a little bit higher, but very little bit. And again, they offer an option to adding the LED lights, which I
- think it's important to have our lights changed out to LEDs. Theirs's \$62,992. The Bluescape is
- \$62,580 without coping. And Pool Specialists is \$59,871. They have coping, but the next one did not
- have coping. My other concern is the amount down. SPIES was very specific. They wanted the 50%
- down and then 50% at the end when it's totally completed and accepted. Pool Specialist want 50%
- down then they want another 40% when they finish the prep work. And that's just totally
- unacceptable in my book. I don't want to put 90% up front before they start putting on the finished
- 694 coat. So as far as I'm concerned, and since they were the low bid, to me that would be out. And I
- 695 couldn't give anybody at all to speak about or give references for Bluescapes. So, at this point, I'm
- 696 comfortable going with SPIES.
- 697 Spv. Berube:
- Based on past experience, I agree with you. But the scope of work is a little bit... See, the last time
- around we got a lousy prep job on the old surface, they didn't prime it. They didn't put the bonding
- in. And what we know is in the areas where the stuff is coming up, we know there's no bonding
- agent because you can see the difference in color when it comes up, right? The bigger question is
- how much of the remaining surface didn't get bonded and hasn't lifted yet?
- 703 Spv. Kramer:
- Well, that's not a problem. In SPIES quote, they are going to take the entire surface down, remove it
- and redone. The only thing I want us to be sure, and I did see it, it did indicate it-
- 706 Spv. Berube:
- 707 Chip off and removed existing pool finish... Yeah, all right. I read that wrong the first time.
- 708 Mr. Van der Snel:
- 709 Except with Ashley Park. They only chip off.
- 710 Spv. Berube:
- 711 They take off a little bit. Yeah.
- 712 Spv. Kramer:
- 713 Right, they're going to take off the-
- 714 Spv. Berube:

I misread their scope of work on the big one to, they were only going to take off the failed areas, but

- that is not what it says. Isn't going to take the whole thing off. So-
- 717 Spv. Kramer:
- Exactly. I think the scope of work is pretty good. I think Julie worked with them very well and then
- if there are any minor things as we get the contract in place, then we will address those at that time
- and make sure we have a good scope of work laid out for them. So at this time I'd entertain a
- 721 motion if...
- 722 Spv. Berube:
- The one thing I'd like to add is of course we're going to have a contract for this, I imagine.
- 724 Spv. Kramer:
- 725 Oh definitely. Yes.
- 726 Spv. Berube:
- 727 I think we ought to ask, based on prior experience, are all your employees going to be working on
- this? And if not, how many subcontractors, who's doing what and who are they?
- 729 Spv. Kramer:
- Yes. And that was my concern in the 50:40:10. It sounded like they needed that 40%- to pay a sub
- 731 up front. I'm not willing to do that.
- 732 Spv. Berube:
- 733 The other thing was you mentioned LED lights and I see it here, but I thought we had LED lights
- 734 now.
- 735 Mr. Van der Snel:
- We have LED light bulbs in there. So what they're going to put in-
- 737 Spv. Berube:
- Oh, they're going to put the new sealed unit with the glow colors.
- 739 Mr. Van der Snel:
- 740 Complete unit so that you don't have any maintenance on it.
- 741 Spv. Berube:
- Okay, let's back up to Ashley Park, is the repair of the supposed leak that we have, is that included
- in what SPIES has put here? Or are we going to get hit with a change order for fixing the leak?
- 744 Mr. Van der Snel:
- 745 Well, that will automatically be repaired when they put the new-

- 746 Spv. Kramer:
- 747 I will make sure it's in the contract. I will just tell them that it's got to be. That's that leak in the
- 748 gutter, right?
- 749 Spv. Berube:
- Well, yeah. There's an area there over on the... I guess it would be the-
- 751 Spv. Kramer:
- 752 And they're familiar with it because they've been-
- 753 Spv. Berube:
- 754 The west side I think, there's something going on because the ground keeps collapsing and we've
- 755 had some problems in that.
- 756 Spv. Kramer:
- 757 That's the west side?
- 758 Spv. Berube:
- 759 I think. Is that right? It's on the west side.
- 760 Spv. Kassel:
- 761 Did you make a motion already?
- 762 Spv. Berube:
- 763 I don't think so.
- 764 Spv. Kramer:
- 765 Okay. I'll make the motion.
- 766 Spv. Berube:
- Wait a minute. We talked about coping. There's coping here. I thought that was some of the other
- ones. What's going on with SPIES with coping?
- 769 Spv. Kramer:
- I do not have that noted, unfortunately.
- 771 Spv. Berube:
- Because that's a big deal and the coping that's there in some areas is-
- 773 Spv. Kramer:
- And that's on the Swim Club? I know on Ashley Park we had a problem with some of it coming out.

- 775 Spv. Berube:
- Yes. And the Swim Club has some minor issues with coping and some old stains from the prior
- project and all that. But the coping, if it doesn't look good, really detracts from your newly finished
- 778 pool.
- 779 Spv. Kramer:
- All right. So, we will sit down and finalize all these final details and a package price. So, I would
- move that we enter into negotiations with SPIES for a final contract and start date. Not to exceed,
- 782 with the lights and everything-
- 783 Spv. Kassel:
- Well maybe more because of the coping. Because then you don't mention the-
- 785 Spv. Kramer:
- Well, let's put \$70,000 including... We need a total redo of our pool, a total redo. So, if we'll do not...
- Not to exceed for them, but then not to exceed for negotiating the contract for \$70,000, would the
- 788 board be okay with that? And for-
- 789 Spv. Berube:
- \$62,000, \$65,000. So, you got \$5,000 in it for coping, yeah. What did the other guys want for
- 791 coping? Did they call it out?
- 792 Spv. Kramer:
- 793 They did not call it out one. One had it, one did not. But they didn't specify separately.
- 794 Spv. Scarborough:
- 795 Maybe they just left it off their specification.
- 796 Spv. Kramer:
- 797 Yeah, it may be. We may be able to talk to them and -
- 798 Spv. Berube:
- They're pretty thorough with what they've got here and that's why I asked the question.
- 800 DM Montagna:
- We can follow up.
- 802 Spv. Kramer:
- We can follow up. And then for Ashley Park, it would be not to exceed at \$26,000 with the LED's
- and tile.
- 805 Spv. Kassel:

806 And what about coping there? 807 Spv. Scarborough: 808 Just do an overall approval with a not to exceed for both. 809 Spv. Kramer: 810 Do an overall for both pools? 811 Spv. Scarborough: 812 If we are having the same contractor, do both pools-813 Spv. Berube: 814 Yeah. We got to have two separate contracts. 815 Spv. Kramer: 816 We will do separate contracts and we're not going to close down, at least I don't plan on closing 817 down both pools at the same time. Spy. Leet: But in our approval we can approve anything we like 818 now and-819 820 Spv. Kramer: 821 So, I would ask the Board's approval to go with SPIES Pools for a not to exceed for both pools of a hundred thousand dollars and we'll negotiate that contract out for the work to be done this winter. 822 823 Spv. Leet: 824 Seconded 825 Spv. Kassel: I will make that motion. 826 827 Spv. Kramer: 828 I will let Spv. Kssel make that motion and Supervisor Leet seconded. All in favor? Any opposed? 829 Hearing none, motion passes unanimously. All right. We'll get that project under way. 830 831 On MOTION by Supy. Kassel and Second by Supy. Leet, with all 832 in favor, Approved unanimously for Counsel and Chairwoman 833 to negotiate a contract with SPIES for renovation of the Ashley Park Pool and the Swim Club Pool with a not to exceed 834 835 \$100,000.00

26

836 837 838

- 839
- 840 Supv. Kramer:
- Okay. Next item on the agenda for old business is the demand letter. We have an attorney in the
- 842 background and Mr. Perez.
- 843 DM Montagna:
- The demand letter for tree that was intentionally damaged by the resident that-
- 845 Spv. Scarborough:
- 846 Again?
- 847 District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
- We're just looking for guidance, what the Board wants us to do, or at least from my standpoint.
- 849 Spv. Kramer:
- We had the tree evaluated, since nobody else is speaking up here.
- 851 Spv. Kassel:
- This is a tree that's on the corner of Button Bush loop. And it's on the south corner of Button Bush
- loop and Cat Brier.
- 854 Spv. Kramer:
- 855 Correct.
- 856 Brett Perez:
- I can speak on that. We had a certified arborist that specializes in Risk Assessments visit this
- address and put a certified assessment on it. And there is in the agenda, on page 209, there's a very
- thorough detailed report for what he found with the tree. And he gave some options. The first is to
- remove the main branch over the road to balance the canopy, but this would've reduced a need for
- healing the wound. Second option is to stake or guy the tree but that would require the use of
- private property not owned by the CDD, and the third option is basically to remove and replace the
- tree with a different species to promote diversity. So, the biggest issue with this tree in his
- assessment is not so much the amount of limbs and canopy removed. It's more, there's one cut that
- was made in the picture that you all see, that did damage. It's going to impact the long term health
- of that tree and the instability of that tree in a severe storm, which could increase the liability of
- that tree falling or failing in the future. Unfortunately, this arborist or whatever arborist comes out,
- there's no guarantee.
- 869 Spv. Kramer:
- 870 There is no crystal ball.
- 871 Brett Perez:

There's no timetable to say how soon the tree will go. And I think that's stated in his report as well.

- So basically, we need the Board to discuss what option they want to move forward with. The
- reason that he's recommending a different species of tree is to promote diversity because if you
- look down Cat Brier, there's a lot of live oak trees that are already there. And over time when these
- trees are first planted, their roots have not fused together or made contact with each other. But
- 877 now that they're at this point of maturity, the roots have now intertwined and can fuse together or
- graft together. And if there is a disease like sudden oak death that does impact one tree, well it's
- impacting all of them down the street. So, he's trying to recommend a different species to eliminate
- and alleviate that potential for something like that, eliminating a lot of trees down the road on Cat
- 881 Brier if that makes sense.
- 882 Spv. Scarborough:
- Overall it does make sense, but what does these options have to do with the demand for to the
- homeowner? The demand letter, I mean.
- 885 Spv. Kramer:
- One, we have to make a decision on, on what option. If we're going to leave it there, then the cost to
- the homeowner will be different. What we have for everyone out there. I don't know if you can put
- the picture of the tree up or not, Dan. But basically, our attorney, which is very accurate, these trees
- are owned by the Community Development District. All of you all own all of these trees. And one of
- the things that makes Harmony so special is all of our trees. The tree itself was cut. It was singed
- slightly in a furniture trailer fire. It was determined that there was no injury to the tree, the tree
- 892 would fully recover but the homeowner took it upon himself to cut off approximately a third of the
- canopy of the tree. And this is what the tree looks like now. If you go by that location, they cut off
- major limbs on it, which destabilizes it.
- They cut a cut that goes parallel to the ground, which seriously damages the tree. And there's
- concern that it can be a liability and can come down. And if it does come down, it won't go towards
- the homes it will go down across the street and it would be a major problem for the CDD if it went
- down and someone's car or truck was there and someone got severely injured. So, the question is,
- the homeowner that had this work done is liable just as if they've gone into one of our bath houses
- and knocked down a bathroom stall or something. By going out and damaging part of the CDD
- ownership. So that's where we are now is trying to decide what action we need to do and the action
- we choose then affects the amount of payment we're looking to the homeowner for to make that
- 903 right. There's no reason that every resident in Harmony should bear the burden of making this
- repair since it was done by one homeowner. So that is where we are right now.
- 905 Spv. Kassel:
- 906 None of the options are fabulous.
- 907 Spv. Berube:
- I think that the fact of the matter is we know what happened, it's documented, we have all of the
- information. When you look at the tree, except for the missing limbs, it fits in with the rest of the
- 910 trees on the street. It's thriving, it's
- 911 Spv. Kramer:

- 912 It's ugly.
- 913
- 914 Spv. Berube:
- 915 Well, I agree with you.
- 916
- 917 Spv. Kramer:
- 918 It's not going to spring back.
- 919 Spv. Scarborough:
- Well, I would say I agree. Aesthetically, that's a shame to do that to that tree, for sure. But trees are
- 921 pretty resilient. I've seen them completely fall over flat and still grow. So, I don't know that this is...
- Everything long term is just pure speculation at this point. So, I don't know that it's fair to try to go
- after a homeowner for... How do we assess this amount of damage to a homeowner? I don't think it
- would be fair to charge him, to take out an entire live oak tree and replace it with something
- omparable. The tree's not dead.
- 926 Spv. Berube:
- And you're not going to replace it with something comparable, you're going to put it in something
- 928 smaller.
- 929 Spv. Scarborough:
- 930 Yeah. A four or six inch caliper.
- 931 Spv. Kramer:
- Excuse me, unfortunately, this is all at the Board. I appreciate that you all want to interject but we'll
- never get through this agenda if we go down that road. The cost, that would be would not be for
- replacing a like-kind tree. We've already said that, one, we can't do that, it's not feasible. And the
- second, we're not charging him for the whole value of the tree. So, the final charge when added up
- with the cost from the certified arborist and all the cost for the tree removal and everything, which
- 937 is what he wanted to do, his whole point was to remove that tree. He was stopped halfway through
- what he intended to do. So, I'm at a quandary because I'd rather not give him what he wanted,
- which was removing the tree. But I think it's the only unfortunately, responsible way to go. We
- can't leave that tree there to end up being a liability for our community because that liability could
- be huge. And I don't know that anybody wants to take a chance on that.
- 942 Spv. Berube:
- 943 Several thousand other trees that are all a liability. Hurricane comes through, you can't tell what's
- going down. Maybe that one goes over, maybe it doesn't. It may stand up and all the others get
- 945 down.
- 946 Spv. Leet:

Well, if the other trees fall down, we don't have documentation of, hey we knew something

- happened to this tree and we chose to take no action.
- 949 Spv. Kassel:
- My quandary is that there are other trees in the community that have been butchered a bit by other
- homeowners that weren't caught in the middle of doing that work to the tree. They brought in
- some tree trimming organization and a number... There were a couple of places in the community,
- two, three houses in a row, where they went in together to have their trees trimmed and so the
- whole house side of the tree is missing. On the one hand I don't want to let this go, but on the other
- hand we need to be consistent in our treatment. We caught this guy in the midst of it, but we didn't
- ocatch these other people, and those trees may not be as much of a liability, but we need to have
- 957 kind of an even-handed approach.
- 958 Spv. Kramer:
- Yeah, the only difference with those trees is that those limbs were growing toward their house.
- This, they took down the limbs, not just growing towards his house, but the ones that are growing...
- So, they basically sheared off all of the limbs that they had no legal right. The other homeowners
- had at least the legal right to cut up to their property line. Some came further than their property
- line and I agree with that. But I think this is a different situation.
- 964 Spv. Berube:
- He only took off one limb that was growing parallel to the road. Everything else was facing that
- 966 side.
- 967 Spv. Kramer:
- 968 No. The one where the vertical cut is.
- 969 Spv. Berube:
- 970 That's 45 degrees off the angle, but whatever. That doesn't matter.
- 971 Spv. Kramer:
- That one is actually growing, the bottom one was growing actually over the street itself. So, I don't
- know. If you want to give him a pass, the Board can give him a pass. I think it's pretty egregious
- when he was specifically told not to cut on the tree.
- 975 Spv. Scarborough:
- 976 Well, he's already into it for the arborist at minimum, right?
- 977 Spv. Kramer:
- 978 What do you mean?
- 979 Spv. Scarborough:
- 980 So, I mean, we had an Arborist come out so he should pay for that.

- 981 DM Montagna:
- We should bill him for the arborist.
- 983 Spv. Scarborough:
- 984 I agree.
- 985 Spv. Kramer:
- 986 I think we should bill him.
- 987 Spv. Scarborough:
- I don't know that you're further ahead by taking this out and replacing it with something different
- as opposed to just letting this thing attempt to come back on its own. I don't know that you replace
- it with a six inch caliper. It's going to be way out of place to take years to catch up.
- 991 Spv. Kramer:
- 992 It will.
- 993 Spv. Kassel: We have lots of those-
- 994 Spv. Scarborough: We do, we do -I have just seen trees come back.
- 995 Spv, Kramer:
- So, what is the will of the Board? Do I hear a motion as to whether to leave it and bill him for the
- arborist work or for whatever work we need to do? I want to hear from the Board.
- 998 Spv. Berube:
- Two pieces. I move that we send him a letter requesting payment of our cost up to date for the
- inspections. I think there were two, maybe one or two that we paid for. And also putting him on
- notice that we're going to monitor this into the reasonable future for the tree's decline and if it goes
- over, something breaks and we're going to hold them responsible at that point. But I think that's
- what the letter should say we're going request that he pays our costs so far for the inspection.
- 1004 DM Montagna:
- 1005 Just to clarify, the motion is, send a letter requesting payment for arborist bill and place him on
- notice for future issues with the tree?
- 1007 Spv. Berube: Yes
- 1008 Spv. Kassel:
- So, I'd like to ask our attorney whether that will fly. In other words, is there some kind of statute of
- 1010 limitations?
- 1011 Spv. Kramer:

1012 Let me do one thing very quickly. I need a second before we go in further discussion. I have a 1013 motion to second. 1014 Spv. Scarborough: 1015 Second 1016 District Counsel: 1017 There is a statute of limitations, but I would think five years is what it is. I'll double check to 1018 confirm that. 1019 Spv. Kassel: 1020 And how long do we want to give it? 24 months? 1021 Spv. Scarborough: 1022 That seems reasonable. 1023 Spv. Kramer: 1024 Then he will be legally responsible so if-1025 Spv. Kassel: 1026 To replace at these costs that we have in the agenda, which is \$9,760, it's on agenda page 211... By the way, folks, this agenda was 617 pages long. 1027 1028 Spv. Kramer: 1029 \$9760.00 1030 DM - Montagna: 1031 So, to add to your motion, it was for future issues with trees for two years at the cost listed below, which is \$9760.00. 1032 1033 Spv. Kassel: 1034 Two years from now. 1035 District Counsel: Tim Qualls: I'll get right on it. I got it, I'll get with DM. 1036

32

If it comes down, he'll be liable for any damages that it may cause.

1037

1038

1039

1040

Spv. Kramer:

Spv. Berube:

Yeah. He's on notice.

- 1041 Spv. Kramer:
- 1042 All right, I've got a motion and a second. It's a long motion, but all in favor?
- 1043 Spv. Kassel:
- 1044 Aye.
- 1045 Spv. Berube:
- 1046 Aye.
- 1047 Spv. Kramer:
- 1048 Any opposed? Hearing no opposition, passes unanimously.

1049

- 1050 On MOTION by Spv. Berube, seconded by Spv. Scarborough, 1051 with all in favor. Counsel will draft and send a demand letter to the resident that cut the CDD tree. The letter will state the 1052 1053 damages, demand payment for the Arborist bill for \$200 and 1054 place him on notice that he will be responsible for two years regarding the health of the tree. If something happens within 1055 1056 those two years, the resident will incur the costs for any 1057 damages and be responsible for the cost of \$9760.00 for the 1058 District to remove and replace the tree.
- 1059 Spv. Kramer:
- 1060 The next item on our agenda is Buck Lake, the Buck Lake committee. We just had a meeting, I'll go 1061
- very, very quickly for you, if we can all stay on this agenda item.
- 1062 One thing we need to do is, because Harmony West CDD owns the lake, I think it would behoove us
- 1063 and our relationship with them to add them to our liability waiver for people who are going out on
- 1064 the lake and using the lake. So, if I hear no objections to that, we can go ahead and add that. Biotech
- 1065 came up with a lake management plan, it's in your package. I would ask that the board would
- 1066 approve that tonight, it's very scant.
- 1067 I did try and get them to beef it up and they did beef it up a bit by including torpedo grass in the
- plants to be controlled. We were going to put water quality monitoring in, but the Harmony West 1068
- 1069 board shot that down. So, I reached out to Lake Watch and we are under their water quality
- 1070 monitoring so we will have that separate from this particular plan, but we will have water quality
- 1071 monitoring, which has been ongoing for about a year now. And I'll bring those results to the board
- 1072 at a later date. So, I would ask the board to approve the Buck Lake Joint Management Plan between
- 1073 Harmony CDD and Harmony West CDD. I'll make that a motion.
- 1074 Spv. Kassel:
- 1075 I just want some clarity because it wasn't exactly clear to me what was being requested of this CDD
- and this was back from July and it sounded like they were requesting our approval of the minutes 1076
- rather than requesting our approval of having Biotech perform the lake management. 1077

- 1078 Spv. Kramer:
- 1079 They were, in July, but we had a more recent meeting in October and out of that meeting, we don't
- have the minutes for you yet, but out of that meeting, what I'm bringing forward to us is they would
- like our approval of the Lake Management Plan. If you want to wait for next meeting, we can do
- that but that's what they're asking for now. Also, we dealt with the policies, they have adopted, or
- are planning on adopting all the policies that were approved, which basically mimic all of our
- policies. And also, the annual maintenance contract and I have good news on that front to if you
- want me to go ahead with that. Would you like to do this all as one package?
- 1086 Spv. Kassel:
- 1087 Well, I'm just trying to understand what's being requested of as a Board.
- 1088 Spv. Kramer:
- 1089 I'll go over it carefully. They're asking for us to approve the Buck Lake Management Plan as
- 1090 presented in your Board package.
- 1091 Spv. Kassel:
- 1092 Which is to have Biotech?
- 1093 Spv. Kramer:
- No, the Lake Management Plan just shows what Biotech drafted up, talking about the different
- invasive species, the water hyacinth, the
- 1096 Spv. Kassel:
- 1097 Torpedo grass.
- 1098 Spv. Kramer:
- Torpedo grass. And in this package, they also call out the Old World Climbing Fern that is right
- there on the lakeshore, so they call all that out and that is-, and they don't specify who will manage
- 1101 that, but-
- 1102 Spv. Kassel: Is that all that they are asking of us?
- 1103 Spv. Kramer:
- 1104 Well, no. The second thing they're asking of us is to adopt the policies that the committee came up
- with. And again, we pretty much have those policies in place. The big onus is who's going to be on
- them to adopt the policies, but all of the policies that were in your Board packet is what we as a
- 1107 committee jointly agreed to take back to our Boards and say, please approve these policies. We had
- a head start because we drafted them first, they took ours and pretty much ran with it, we just
- adjusted. There was a boat length of 18 feet, which we bump up to 20 since we already had 20 foot
- boats on water, but no gasoline powered engines, no high powered engines. Everything that
- Harmony already has. Tuesday is the day of rest for the lake and all that's in those policies.

They're also asking that we approve entering into a contract with Biotech and again, we don't, the

- Harmony CDD does not enter into that contract, Harmony West does. And Harmony West, the
- board is already approved, we have for the past year been paying for the maintenance for the lake.
- So, I negotiated with them and we were able to come to agreement that they would forgo the initial
- treatment, which our share would be 50% of \$3,500 and the full year of treatment for FY 2022. So
- basically, they will foot the entire bill for the initial treatment and a full year's worth of treatment
- in recognition of our past year of treatment of Buck Lake.
- 1119 Spv. Kassel"
- But, with biotech?
- 1121 Spv. Kramer:
- 1122 Yes, Biotech. To them that was not negotiable.
- 1123 Supv. Berube:
- So, in effect, they're calling the shots?
- 1125 Spv. Kramer:
- 1126 Yeah, that's what we did when we lost the lake.
- 1127 Supv. Berube:
- 1128 And we're paying half?
- 1129 Spv. Kramer:
- No, we're not paying half this year. I negotiated where we-
- 1131 Supv. Berube:
- 1132 Well yeah, we had a trade off because we did lake maintenance for a while.
- 1133 Spv. Kramer:
- 1134 Yeah but it didn't cost nearly as much.
- 1135 Spv. Berube: Yeah, I understand
- 1136 Spv. Kramer:
- I had them reword the management and monthly maintenance to include that it would be Buck
- Lake, they're treating all of Buck Lake and the associated areas on the lake shore that can be
- reached from a boat including our side of the lake shore. And they will also be treating that for old
- world climbing fern. So that really is quite a deal for us because trying to get down to that old world
- climbing fern that is on the marsh side shoreline of the lake is very, very difficult for our crew, so
- they will be doing it from a boat for the next year at no cost us.
- 1143 Spv. Kassel:

1144 So just to be succinct, you're asking us to approve the Lake Management Plan, the Policies that 1145 they're proposing that are essentially the same policies we have and the contract, the agreement that we have that they contract with Biotech and we don't pay for the initial contract or the first 1146 1147 annual? 1148 Spv. Kramer: 1149 That's correct. 1150 Spv. Kassel: 1151 So moved. 1152 DM Montagna: 1153 Are you doing all three of those in one motion? 1154 Spv. Kramer: 1155 All of them in one motion. All three, correct. 1156 Management Plan, Policies, Annual maintenance contract with them paying a hundred percent for 1157 initial and first year. 1158 Spv. Leet: I'll second. 1159 1160 Spv. Kramer: 1161 I have a motion and a second. All in favor? Any opposed? Hearing no opposition motion passes. And that's your update on Buck Lake? 1162 1163 On MOTION by Supv. Kassel seconded by Supv. Leet with all in 1164 1165 favor, the Board approved and adopted the Buck Lake Management Plan, Buck Lake Policies, and the initial and FY 1166 2022 treatment by Bio-Tech with Harmony West paying 100% 1167 of cost were approved. 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 Supv. Kramer: 1173 Next item on the agenda is a repair of the Garden Road. It is also under the Engineer's Report but was put here since it may take action. 1174 1175 District Engineer, David Hamstra: 1176 Last meeting, we talked about doing some improvements to Garden Road to make it more structurally stable, not have the continued potholes and when I threw out the cost estimate of 1177

\$335,000, I think the Board thought it was nuts. So, we went back to the drawing board to look at

- replacing the existing road with what they call recycled concrete aggregate. Basically, it looks like
- small gravel, 15 inches thick. Osceola County has accepted that as a replacement for the road,
- 1181 Florida gas transmission has accepted that as a replacement for the road and it looks like we're
- going to get a no permit required from South Florida for that. The only caveat is it's the same
- alignments and same width, but it's a \$100,000 versus \$335,000 and it's got the same structural
- strength as your typical subdivision paved road, so I think it'll serve your purpose.
- 1185 Spv. Berube:
- 1186 This would be similar to the stuff that went in under the bridges, but smaller grain?
- 1187 District Engineer, David Hamstra:
- 1188 15 inches thick.
- 1189 Spv. Berube:
- 1190 I mean the size of each piece.
- 1191 District Engineer, David Hamstra:
- The three agencies have all basically blessed it, with that said as soon as I get the official word from
- South Florida tomorrow, I need to give Amy Powell of Florida Gas Transmission final plans so she
- can do the agreement between the CDD and Florida Gas Transmission. With all that said, since
- everybody was cooperating so much, I would recommend that we don't do the short term fix and
- we just wait a little bit longer to do the ultimate fix because I hate to have Gerhard put gravel down
- and regraded only to rip it all up and remove it. So, if the Board is okay with holding off just a little
- bit longer, we'll solicit bids for the 15 inches, the thick of the rock. And then all agencies are good to
- 1199 go moving forward.
- 1200 Spv. Kassel:
- So, in the agenda, you had not heard back from the county, but the county has since given you
- 1202 approval?
- 1203 District Engineer, David Hamstra:
- 1204 Yes. They came full circle and said, "It's replacing the same alignment and width, and the structural
- number we gave them, they were okay to treat that as a maintenance issue."
- 1206 Spv. Kassel:
- 1207 Okay. Thank you.
- 1208 District Engineer: David Hamstra:
- 1209 They didn't require a full-fledged plan review again.
- 1210 Spv. Kramer:

1211	Will we also have to	put.	for FGT.	that small low	profile fence	and the pull off are	eas?

- 1212 District Engineer, David Hamstra:
- 1213 Yes, we have to add it to the plans.
- 1214 Spv. Kassel: So moved.
- 1215 Spv. Kramer:
- We have it fully planned out. I have a motion. Do I hear a second? Let's get a second. And then we'll
- 1217 go through discussion, any second.
- 1218 Supv. Scarborough:
- 1219 I second.
- 1220 Spv. Kramer:
- We have a motion and a second.
- 1222 Spv. Berube:
- 1223 Is this just the straight Garden Road or is this turning over to-?
- 1224 District Engineer, David Hamstra:
- 1225 It's all the way from Five Oaks Drive to the entrance of the RV parking lot, the entire length.
- 1226 Spv. Berube:
- 1227 So, it's the whole distance?
- 1228 District Engineer, David Hamstra:
- Yes. With three pull over areas, if some people are conflicting on coming in and out of there.
- 1230 Spv. Kramer:
- 1231 All the drawings and everything were in the packet.
- 1232 Spv. Kassel:
- So, when they develop neighborhood M, they're just going to be responsible for repairing whatever
- they may damage as they develop that neighborhood, right?
- 1235 District Engineer, David Hamstra:
- 1236 I guess if you let them use that road to access the site, then yes.
- 1237 Spv. Kassel:
- 1238 They are probably not going to be able to avoid it.

- 1239 Spv. Kramer:
- 1240 I'm sorry, I'm missing something. Where's neighborhood M?
- 1241 Spv. Kassel:
- 1242 To the right of Garden Road, on the road to the garden.
- 1243 Spv. Kramer:
- 1244 Oh, okay. I presume they would come up off of Harmony Square Drive for entry road.
- 1245 Spv. Berube:
- 1246 Yeah, but there was another entrance into that area. We could prohibit them from using the brand
- new road, whatever the equipment.
- 1248 Spv. Scarborough:
- 1249 Absolutely.
- 1250 Spv. Berube:
- Once that road is down, you can say, you got to repair it all you want.
- 1252 Spv. Kassel:
- 1253 Yeah but is it our road? It's not our property.
- 1254 Spv. Kramer:
- 1255 It is our property.
- 1256 Spv. Leet:
- 1257 FGT has an easement.
- 1258 Spv. Kassel:
- 1259 They have the easement. Okay.
- 1260 Spv. Kramer:
- We own, they have an easement.
- 1262 Spv. Berube:
- Did the square footage include the area around the office trailer and whatnot?
- 1264 District Engineer: David Hamstra:
- Yes, one of the pull-offs is in front of Gerhard's trailer and along the side.
- 1266 Spv. Kramer:

1267 Along that whole side. David put it all together and got it approved. The speed of this approval is 1268 great. 1269 District Engineer: David Hamstra: 1270 The County was very cooperative. 1271 Spv. Kramer: 1272 Never seen anything like it before. So, we have a motion and second, all in favor? 1273 Supv. Kassel: 1274 Aye 1275 Supv. Scarborough: 1276 Aye. 1277 Spv. Berube: 1278 Aye. 1279 Supv. Leet: 1280 Aye 1281 Spv. Kramer: All opposed? Hearing none, approved. 1282 1283 On MOTION by seconded by with all in favor, the Engineer is 1284 approved to proceed in getting bids for the repair of the Garden Road using concrete fines per the provided drawings. 1285 1286 1287 Spv. Kramer: 1288 All right. The next item on the old business is Steve Berube. I have no idea what this is, I saw no 1289 backup-1290 Spv. Berube: 1291 I asked the District Manager to table this until next month. 1292 Spv. Kramer: 1293 Okay. This item has been tabled until next month. So, our next item we are moving into New 1294 Business. On New Business is Harmony Cove. 1295 1296 SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **New Business** 1297 1298 Supv. Kramer:

1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305	I understand that we have a 10th Amendment of our drainage easement. We have a blanket drainage easement that originally was over all Harmony. As neighborhoods come on and development takes place, that blanket drainage easement piece for that development is taken out and replaced by a more custom form of drainage easement. That's where we are today.  We are removing, through this Tenth Amendment, a portion of property adjacent to the town home/condominiums and also asking to approve a new drainage easement that goes over a large pipe that connects the main front storm water pond through to where the Brownies repair was and
1306 1307 1308	then to the golf course pond. Interconnects those. It's currently in place, it's a concrete pipe as was indicated by the developer's assessments. And if it's not, they're going to put a concrete pipe in, right? Okay. Do you have anything to say, Mr. Boyd?
1309	Mr Boyd:
1310 1311	I'm just here just as a representative of the developer to answer any questions you might have about it.
1312	Spv. Kramer:
1313 1314 1315 1316 1317 1318	So that's what's before us, all the information was in the packet. There have been some minor legal changes, a change, the original one talked about a appurtenant easement, it needs to be a gross easement. Basically, what that is, is the gross easement rides with the entity, like a utility or in our case, the CDD. So, no matter what lands the CDD owns or doesn't own, this easement will still remain in place with the CDD instead of the other way around. It's been legally reviewed, any questions about these two?
1319	Spv. Berube:
1320 1321 1322 1323	My question is for Mr. Boyd, you've been around here for a long time and from what I understand, this is a minor and routine restatement of the easement in question. For my edification, what's the minor and routine restatement of the easement? What are we gaining or losing here in the specificity of it?
1324	Mr Boyd:
1325 1326 1327 1328 1329	Certainly. So, there's two easement documents in the agenda that come up front, okay. There are two documents, one is the 10th amendment, which is releasing what's in the blanket easement today, which is the yellow portion of property, you see, there It's about four and a half acres immediately east of Ashley Park. That's what's left in the blanket easement of that Town Center area.
1330	Spv. Berube:
1331	To that point, is that what we know as A2?

Spv. Kassel:

Yes. That is A2, correct.

Mr Boyd:

1332

1333

- But, it's part of A2, it's not all of A2. Or is it all of A2?
- 1336 Mr Boyd:
- 1337 It's really what used to be called A2? Yes. The rest is called TC and, I don't remember the numbers
- there are, the rest of its TC. So that's the first part, is releasing that area from the blanket drain
- easement, the same way you've done nine times before with plats that come in. This time it's being
- done outside of an actual plat. The other thing that you're getting here, which typically nothing's
- being given to the CDD at these times, but prior to the developer, there were some questions about
- when that was released, but the area that's being granted an easement to the CDD is an area that
- the CDD needs an easement over. And so, we were basically asking for a release of the area there.
- which is just a remnant of the old blanket easement that's serving their purpose. But, at the same
- time, to grant the CDD an easement over infrastructure that's already existing, gives you the right
- to maintain it, not the obligation to maintain it.
- 1347 Spv. Kassel:
- So, I'm still confused, was this part of the old—You are too?
- 1349 Spv. Berube:
- 1350 Well, I understand what's being done and it's been done before, and I guess there's really no
- change other than we're lifting it out of a big package and making a specific easement for this piece
- of property.
- 1353 Spv. Kassel:
- But I don't understand why? Why would we go through that?
- 1355 Mr. Boyd:
- 1356 There's two parts, the release is something that happens routinely so that you can develop. You
- can't put a home or any building on top of these easements. So the blanket easement was created in
- the beginning because the CDD, to go in and build upon and build the roads and build the
- underground pipes, they needed to access the entire private property. So the original developer
- granted the CDD a blanket easement that covered everything. And every time a development comes
- in, the easement is modified so that buildings can go on certain pieces of land. That's the release.
- What had happened is, and I apologize for any confusion I created earlier about this issue when I
- was still district engineer. The existing pond, I had always understood you still had an easement
- over it, but it turns out that it was released in the very first amendment that was filed because the
- land just immediately west of the Town Center was platted, even though it was never developed, it
- was included in a plat, it's called Tract X. And when that happened, it was automatically released
- from the easement. So that pond needs an easement over it for the CDD. So that's what we're
- attempting to do today is to release the easement that is of no consequence and grant you an
- easement over what is of consequence.
- 1370 Spv. Kramer:
- 1371 And also, across a long pipe that is underground that runs from that front pond all the way across
- to the diverter box. My understanding, we don't have an easement over that right now, either.

- 1373 Mr Boyd:
- 1374 That's correct. This was discovered when the title work was done for the new developer.
- 1375 Spv. Kramer:
- So we would have the difficulties maintaining our permit status if we don't have these easements.
- 1377 Spv. Berube:
- 1378 All right. So that answers that question, now let me look at our current Engineer, we'll go from our
- former engineer to the current engineer. I'm sure you've looked at all this and you're okay with
- everything? There's no detriment to the community here by doing this?
- 1381 District Engineer, David Hamstra:
- I won't speak legally, but from a maintenance point of view, it's what is needed to properly
- maintain your pond, pipes, and everything that's within that utility corridor.
- 1384 Spv. Berube:
- 1385 Good answer. Thank you. Counsel, you agree.
- 1386 District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
- I have reviewed the documents from the legal point of view and my concern had to do with the
- classification of the easement running with the land. It was initially treated as a, what I would call a
- more typical easement, where you have two adjacent properties and this property owner needs to
- gain access to this other property. What happens there though is, those easements run with the
- land and they're designed for adjacent properties. The District does not own any property around
- this area, so this is more akin to an easement a utility company would have to maintain power lines
- and it inures to the benefit of the holder, that's the CDD, not the benefit of the land so to speak since
- the CDD doesn't own that land. So, from that standpoint, it was good to address that and get that
- taken care of.
- 1396 Spv. Berube:
- In effect, it makes us a utility company, so we can access our utilities, which is that drainage system
- 1398 under the land storm.
- 1399 District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
- 1400 Yeah, I would say that it allows the District to properly maintain District storm water
- infrastructure.
- 1402 Spv. Berube:
- Okay. Sidenote for the District manager, legal counsel, and the engineer, when Sunterra bought
- 1404 from Starwood, there was a true-up agreement done in 2017, two pieces of that and it includes A2.
- There's a \$220,000 debt due when A2 gets platted as a result of that true-up agreement. I don't
- know who owns it, but when that A2, and that's why I asked is that A2, when either M or A2 gets

1407 platted, somebody owes \$220,000 in debt payment money. And if you go back to 2017, you'll find 1408 the documents for that. 1409 District Manager: 1410 We are looking into it, I think we've been on email chain about that. 1411 Spv. Kassel: 1412 So, we just need a vote. 1413 Spv. Berube: 1414 Yeah, we already got a motion and a second, right? 1415 Spv. Kramer: 1416 We have a motion and a second. If I hear no further discussion, I'll call the question. All in favor? 1417 Any opposed? Hearing none, passes unanimously. 1418 1419 On MOTION by seconded by Chairwoman Kramer and a second by Supv. Leet, with all in favor, the Drainage Easement and 1420 10<sup>th</sup> Amendment are approved regarding Harmony Cove. 1421 1422 1423 1424 Supv. Kramer: 1425 Okay the next item under new business is the audit engagement letter, Burger, Tombs, Elams, Gaines, and Frank for a Not To Exceed at \$4,400. 1426 Spv. Berube: 1427 1428 Move to approve. 1429 Spv. Kassel: 1430 Second. 1431 Spv. Kramer: 1432 Motion and second. All in favor? Any opposed? Passes unanimously. 1433 1434 On MOTION by Spv. Berube, seconded by Spv. Kassel, with all 1435 in favor, the audit engagement letter with Booger Tombs is 1436 approved 1437 1438 Supv. Kramer: 1439 Move on to the next, security of RV storage lot. I had no backup, and nobody identified on 1440 this one.

- 1441 Spv. Kassel:
- Recently we had somebody tell us about a security issue with the RV parking and so I just didn't
- want it to go unaddressed. I just wanted to know if there's any plan.
- 1444 Spv. Berube:
- 1445 As you probably remember from the last meeting, I was appointed to liaison to determine what
- we're going to do about that fence. Myself, District Engineer and District Counsel have been going
- back and forth on the most expeditious way of either recovering our money or getting that fence
- put up. As it turns out, our engineer still has to go back to the county, but we feel that the best thing
- to do is put up that fence as contracted, which should be okay as it was originally designed. So, as I
- understand it, Mr. Engineer is going to go to the county, make sure we're good to go with that, get it
- permitted and then we will bring that contract forward and have Straight Line Fence put up that
- 1452 fence, which will secure that facility 90%. Now as the, the road gets improved and the site plan gets
- 1453 further refined by the county, we're going to have to move some existing fence, which won't affect
- 1454 what we're doing right now. Some existing fence will have to come closer to the field services area,
- the trailer, and enclose those Conex boxes that are there, but that'll be a separate piece and we'll
- decide what we do for further security at that time, maybe a key card system or whatever. But right
- 1457 now, as soon as Mr. Hamstra can get this permitted, we anticipate asking Straight Line to come in
- and put up the fence per the contract. And that should clear up that mess.
- 1459 Spv. Kramer:
- 1460 Right. And they're holding firm on the contract?
- 1461 Spv. Berube:
- 1462 We think so, at the moment. What are we going to do? But we needed a plan before we go back to
- the contractor and say, "Hey, we want our money back." Right?
- 1464 Spv. Kramer:
- 1465 Right.
- 1466 Spv. Kassel:
- 1467 It doesn't address the concerns of the resident who brought this to our attention. So, the concerns
- were that people open that gate and leave it open. So, they come, they leave, they open the gate,
- they leave the gate open. There's no camera or anything, as we may have in other areas of the
- 1470 community. There's no security for the vehicles that are inside. There may be a gate, but that
- doesn't mean it's going to be closed.
- 1472 Spv. Berube:
- 1473 Well, for most of each day, we have Field Services personnel within a hundred feet of that gate and
- they can look at it. And if that gate is open, it's pretty easy for a Field Services guy to go over there,
- 1475 close the gate, put a lock on.
- 1476 Spv. Kassel:

1477 If there's going to be vandalism you think it's going to happen during the day when there are cars

- 1478 right there?
- 1479 Spv. Berube:
- 1480 Well, I understand that, but how far do you go? Even if you had a camera, you can't see it at night.
- 1481 Spv. Kramer:
- There is a Camera out there and this goes back to our security cameras, is that, it used to be on the
- light pole there, right at the gate.
- 1484 Mr. Van der Snel:
- 1485 That's a deer camera and motion activated right? Yeah motion. I tried that and it only works for a
- day because there's so much motion there that it fills up very quickly. And then you would have to
- change it out every day. You'd have to format the card every day.
- 1488 Spv. Kramer:
- 1489 Is that the one that the Sheriff's office reviewed?
- 1490 Mr. Van der Snel:
- No, that was the one that was actually in my trailer. So around, around CDD trailer there's cameras.
- However, it only shows how far a vehicle goes in, but it doesn't show what the vehicle does inside
- the RV lot, I mean, once they're in, who knows what they do. If you want to have a tight security
- 1494 with cameras, you have to first of all, get power there and have... You could try with solar power,
- and then you have to have night vision cameras with motion. And that even if, then you still don't
- really know who does it. You have a person on camera-
- 1497 Spv. Berube:
- Here's suggestion, short term we're going to ask Field Services to keep that gate closed and locked
- routinely, because they're there all day, right? Doesn't fix the nighttime problem. The slightly
- longer term is, once we get the site plan approved by the county, we're going to have to redo the
- gates in there anyway. And it's probably going to be a 10 or 12 foot wide gate, probably going to
- need a roller and probably be electrically activated. So, we can put in a gate, an automatic gate,
- we're going to put one in anyway, right? Probably key code activated, open the gate, 30 seconds,
- the gate closes behind. When whoever went in, wants to come out, slide a key card again, to get out.
- Now you're going to know who is coming in and who is going out.
- 1506 Spv. Kassel:
- 1507 That's what I'm asking for.
- 1508 Spv. Berube:
- 1509 I mean, we're going to put on a gate anyway.
- 1510 It's just a matter of a slightly fancier gate that closes.

1511 And that does it.

1512

- 1513 Spv. Leet:
- 1514 And if there's power for that, then camera could also have power.

1515

- 1516 Spv. Berube:
- 1517 And if I remember right where that gate's going to go, there is power pretty close.
- 1518 Mr. Van der Snel:
- 1519 There is power. That's no problem.
- 1520 Spv. Berube:
- 1521 So we don't have to run a power wire to run the gate and a camera.
- 1522 Spv. Kramer:
- 1523 This will be included in the site planning and the future fence install?
- 1524 District Engineer, Hamstra:
- 1525 There's two parts of this, we're going to do the Straight Line fence as quickly as possible to secure
- 1526 the site.
- 1527 We're doing a long term site planning improvements that I work with Gerhard and Brett on, vehicle
- parking, vehicle movements, whether we put some minimal electricity out there and we'll get with
- a fancy gate so it closes within 30 seconds and-
- 1530 Spv. Berube:
- 1531 The site plan that Mr. Boyd did initially should show the new line of where that gate's intended to
- go and the county wanted a 10 foot landscape area in front of it. We hadn't planned on what kind of
- gate we were going to put in at that time. We're thinking swinging gates, but those were
- impractical for this reason. So, I'm going to put a gate therein, put it on a roller.
- 1535 Spv. Kassel:
- 1536 Will a rolling gate work consistently well overtime on top of concrete fines?
- 1537 District Engineer, David Hamstra:
- 1538 We may have to put a concrete strip where the wheels are, so it doesn't get rotted out, and you may
- still have somebody who's impatient, they hit or bump it because they want to get out sooner than,
- but we'll do the best we can with something that's more robust and safer.

1541

- 1542 Supv. Kramer:
- 1543 Item D, discussion of sidewalk pressure washing.

- 1545 Spv. Kassel
- 1546 These are all from resident requests. That's why I brought them forward. So, we used to be doing
- regular sidewalk washing and I don't know if we still are. There are areas that apparently are quite
- black and slippery when wet. Are we still doing sidewalk pressure washing?
- 1549 Mr. Van der Snel:
- 1550 Yes, we did last week and we're actually doing it this week. The pressure washer was broke, so we
- have to put a new start motor on it, but they're working on it now. The Lakes, the East Five Oaks
- where the most black spots were, are actually finalized today on this side because they were black
- spots and we've been working on it today and this week, but we are doing the Boulevards, the
- boardwalks. So, the press washing, it's going to be in the schedule every week from now on.
- 1555
- 1556 Spv. Kassel:
- 1557 The pressure washing of all the CDD sidewalks.
- 1558 Mr. Van der Snel:
- 1559 Also in front of the residence homes.
- 1560 Spv. Kassel:
- 1561 Yes. That's what I mean, all CDD sidewalks.
- 1562 Spv. Kramer:
- 1563 Right. That's underway. Any other questions concerning sidewalk pressure washing? No?
- 1564 Spv. Kramer:
- 1565 We're moving on. Discussion of security rules and enforcement for the dog park.
- 1566 Spv. Kassel:
- Building on what Ms. Tobey presented earlier, we have boats and we have pools and we have some
- kind of enforcement. We have enforced our rules in those locations in the past, whether it's, if
- somebody brings alcohol in a boat, they may get their privileges revoked for a period of time. And if
- they're repeat offenders, they could permanently have their privileges revoked. Same with the
- pools. If there are repeated violations, they can have their privileges revoked. Why shouldn't we do
- that with the dog parks?
- 1573 Spv. Scarborough:
- 1574 Well, the delineated difference is because we have key card access to those locations. We have that
- enforcement option. The parks are public. I mean, anybody can use them. They do have a fence, but
- they're not access controlled.
- 1577 Spv. Kassel:

- 1578 We can regulate, not restrict.
- 1579 Spv. Kramer:
- 1580 We can go ahead and add the key card access?
- 1581 Spv. Kassel:
- 1582 I inquired with Gerhard about that. That's a very expensive a proposition.
- 1583 Mr. Van der Snel:
- 1584 I had TEM visit the site and a rough estimate will be \$30,000 because there has to be trenching
- done from all the way from the back also to the pavilion. So, the pavilion's going to be the central
- point. All the gates will have the 600-pound magnets and they all need power. So, we are dealing
- with Wi-Fi for the card recognition for the readers. However, the magnets need power. And then
- comes the trenching in and then comes to the electrician in.
- 1589 Spv. Kassel:
- 1590 And that's \$30,000 for all the dog parks, or just one?
- 1591 Spv. Kramer:
- 1592 Just that one. Let me ask you. We've got two entry boxes for each of the parks there.
- 1593 Spv. Kassel:
- We have a total of six.
- 1595 Spv. Kramer:
- We've already secured the maintenance gates. That's not costing us anything. Do we have to keep
- both boxes on both sections of that dog park open? Can we chain off and lock one of them and only
- put the key car to access at the ones closest to the pavilion. And would that significantly drop that
- 1599 cost?
- 1600 Mr. Van der Snel:
- 1601 Definitely.
- 1602 Spv. Kramer:
- Because we don't have that extensive of trenching.
- 1604 Mr. Van der Snel:
- 1605 Definitely.
- 1606 Spv. Kassel:
- 1607 I don't know that people are going to be happy coming in all the way from Schoolhouse Road to the
- entry. I mean, it's a good 600 feet at least maybe 800 feet.

- 1609 Spv. Kramer:
- 1610 I do it all the time. I never use the back entry box.
- 1611 Spv. Berube:
- 1612 There's X amount of people who drive their car over.
- 1613 Spv. Kassel:
- All right. We have a lot of people here. What do you think?
- 1615 Kim Tobey:
- 1616 Can I address something that I heard mentioned? I thought someone stated that this is a public
- 1617 park.
- 1618 Spv. Kassel:
- 1619 Well, all CDD property is public, but we can regulate access, but we can't restrict access.
- 1620 Kim Tobey:
- 1621 So, it says access to the dog park is limited to Harmony residents and annual pass holders.
- 1622 Spv. Kassel:
- 1623 It is, but there's no enforcement.
- 1624 Spv. Kramer:
- 1625 There's no enforcement without the gate key card.
- 1626 Kim Tobey:
- 1627 That's not true. The sign is wrong because that's posted there.
- 1628 Spv. Scarborough:
- 1629 It's true, but like you said, there's no enforcement.
- 1630 Spv. Kramer:
- We just need the key card access.
- 1632 Spv. Kassel:
- 1633 If we had the key card access, then we could do that.
- 1634 A question for me is essentially, all of this has come up because of one particular owner.
- 1635 Spv. Scarborough:
- 1636 That was my point.
- 1637 Spv. Kassel:

To spend even \$15,000 to protect the dog parks because of one particular owner seems excessive.

- But then we're also thinking longer-term about I mean, there are times where people from here,
- there, and everywhere, especially during the holiday.
- 1641 Spv. Scarborough:
- But then, again, even all the stuff that we're discussing, even if that were in place that wouldn't
- necessarily have prevented that incident.
- 1644 Spv. Kassel:
- No, it wouldn't have prevented the incident, but we could revoke his privileges.
- 1646 Spv. Scarborough:
- Yeah. But after the fact... It's a tough spot to be in.
- 1648 Spv. Kramer:
- I hate to draw this out more, but the \$30,000 is a huge hit, but if you would consider closing off two
- of the entry boxes, consolidating those two, putting key cards on those, we could have Gerhard go
- back and get a revised estimate and deal with this in the next meeting.
- 1652 Spv. Kassel:
- Let's find out what it costs, and then we can table the ongoing discussion.
- 1654 Area Field Spv. Brett Perez:
- 1655 Can I ask the question to the Board for consideration too? I have seen dog parks that are also
- divided in half, whereas small dogs on one side and large dogs on the other. You have that
- capability, even with the new dog parks, that you have two boxes to enter to where potentially we
- 1658 could do a change order to add a fence dividing it right down the middle.
- 1659 Spv. Kassel:
- But we would still pay more because there are two access points.
- 1661
- 1662 Spv. Kramer
- 1663 We still couldn't enforce against a dangerous dog.
- 1664 Area Field Spv. Brett Perez:
- I understand. I'm just making a point where it may be separates smaller dogs stay in one side of it,
- and larger dogs, the other.
- 1667 Spv. Berube:
- To your point, you already have two parks. One's a small dog park. One's a large dog park.
- 1669 Spv. Kassel:

- 1670 That's more been about the size of the park than the dog.
- 1671 Spv. Berube:
- 1672 I understand.
- 1673 Spv. Kramer:
- 1674 We could do that without adding another fence. If we wanted to designate the smaller one for small
- dogs. But, I don't know that that would resolve the issue.
- 1676 Spv. Kassel:
- 1677 That's not practical. It's not practical anyway. If you go to the small dog park with a small dog, and
- there's another small dog in there, you don't know, and you're not feel you comes barking at your
- dog at the gate. You're going to want to go to the big dog park. If nobody's in there and vice versa.
- 1680 That's the reason the big dog park with the big dog... You have a big dog, but you don't like what
- that dog looks like, you want to go in the small park. It's impractical.
- 1682 Spv. Scarborough:
- 1683 I've been here for 11 years now. And I have heard of a few minor incidences, but they're not
- reoccurring. They're one-offs, but this one seems to be reoccurring.
- 1685 Spv. Kassel:
- 1686 He has not been coming to the dog park with his dogs.
- 1687 Spv. Kramer:
- He specifically stated that he did not want his access to the dog park and that was a priority
- because he wants to continue coming to the dog park.
- 1690 Spv. Kassel:
- Well, that brings me to my second point about the dog park and access, which is, I guess, we can go
- over this now, David. I had requested, and this is what Kim was talking about with the green,
- yellow, red that I had talked about this many years ago. You'll remember, Steve, I talked about this
- sort of a flag system where people, when they bring their dog in, they could indicate whether the
- dog is friendly with all dogs or friendly with some dogs, but not all dogs or enter at your own risk
- because this is not a dog that gets along with other dogs. And I asked David to see what he could
- 1697 come up with regarding such a system.
- 1698 District Engineer, David Hamstra:
- So, I'll be honest. I asked some of my coworkers who are huge dog lovers and it all boils down to
- 1700 human behavior.
- 1701 I have a dog, German shepherd and my coworkers all have dogs, and the people who seem to have
- an aggressive dog don't think their dog's aggressive. They don't take ownership of it. And so to ask
- them to be honest and flip the tab or do something, I think it's going to break down. I'm just being
- honest. I like the card better if there's a cheaper way to get it because then you could restrict it if

there's another event. But I think the other thing just falls back and just lousy human behavior

- about how they view themselves, their dogs.
- 1707 Spv. Berube:
- 1708 This is an ongoing problem. This is a people problem. One person in particular.
- 1709 Spv. Kassel:
- 1710 I think we're good for now. We're going to have Gerhard get an estimate for three gates instead of
- 1711 six gates.
- 1712 Kim Tobey:
- 1713 Can I ask one question? You mentioned about restriction with this card. I think I heard you saying
- we can't tell that he can't enter, but he may be restricted. When will he be restricted? Will he ever
- be? Will the dog have to be deemed aggressive by adding services?
- 1716 Spv. Kramer:
- No, we have our rules and the rules will be enforced and the enforcement will be cutting off the
- privileges for that particular pass holder whose animal violates them.
- 1719
- 1720 Spv. Kassel:
- 1721 So, we haven't done anything about this. So, we could vote-
- 1722 Spv. Kramer:
- 1723 Do we have a motion?
- 1724 Spv. Kassel:
- Let me just say, we could say that we want to revoke that person's privileges for a period of time or
- permanently because of the past behavior of the dogs and the owner. And even though we don't
- have the enforcement of the key cards, we still have that revocation in place. We can't enforce it,
- but we have the revocation in place.
- 1729 Spv. Leet:
- We need to address that sometime in the future even when we get the key cards in place.
- 1731 Spv. Kassel:
- We could, tonight, decide that we want to revoke this person's privileges for a period of time. You
- want me to make a motion? Is that what you're asking for?
- 1734 Spv. Kramer:
- 1735 If you would like to. That would be great.

- 1736 Spv. Kassel:
- Before I do that, I'd like to hear from other Board members about the period of time of revocation,
- do we make it six months, do we make it permanent? All dog parks.
- 1739 Supv. Berube:
- 1740 How do you revoke his privileges?
- 1741 Spv. Kassel:
- You don't have enforcement yet with the key card system.
- 1743 DM Montagna:
- 1744 You can send him a letter and say, "You have broken these particular rules of our dog park. And we
- are putting you on a X amount suspension or however it needs to be worded.
- 1746 Spv. Kramer:
- 1747 I spoke to him today and he said that he had mentioned that both of his dogs... Neither dog, he
- states, has attacked twice, which is required by statute to be declared a dangerous dog. But he did
- state that each of his two dogs have attacked. He has two dogs that have violated our code. I have a
- motion on the table.
- 1751 Spv. Kassel:
- 1752 The motion isn't clear yet because I would like some feedback from the Board members about the
- length of time of this revocation.
- 1754
- 1755 Spv. Berube:
- 1756 Permanent.
- 1757 Spv. Kramer:
- 1758 I would say permanent with these particular dogs.
- 1759 Spv. Kassel:
- 1760 So moved.
- 1761 DM Montagna:
- 1762 I don't think you can do permanent.
- 1763 District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
- 1764 I'll try to explain this. So, what the CD does is maintains the infrastructure, the fences, the facilities,
- okay. The CDD falls under the jurisdiction of the county when it comes to regulating the behavior.
- So, if you make your motion to revoke subject to conferring with the county jurisdiction and animal
- 1767 control and legal review, then we can figure out the best way to do it. But we will want to
- 1768 coordinate with the county because the county has the jurisdiction.

- 1769 Spv. Kassel:
- 1770 So moved, but for the period of time, are we still saying permanent? Can we do permanent or can
- 1771 we not?
- 1772 District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
- 1773 Subject to review.
- 1774 Spv. Kassel:
- 1775 Permanent, subject to review. So, moved. Thank you.
- 1776 Spv. Kramer:
- 1777 Do I hear a second? I have a motion on the floor.
- 1778 Spv. Scarborough:
- 1779 I'll second right now for discussion.
- 1780 Spv. Kassel:
- 1781 To revoke the privileges permanently subject to the county and legal review.
- 1782 Spv. Scarborough:
- My concern is then what does he do, and I don't care what he does. That's not my concern. My
- 1784 concern is whatever he does. Does that cause even more problems?
- 1786 Spv. Berube:

1785

- We are putting in a rule that we have no enforcement on. Then what do we do?
- 1789 Spv. Scarborough:
- 1790 My concern is not with him or his dogs. My concern is what problem does that create for other
- residents and their animals if he's not able to have his dog. If he's in the dog park and his dogs are
- the only ones there, they can't possibly harm anybody else's dogs. It's only if there's another dog
- there. If he's walking his dogs and comes in contact with another dog, that's still on a leash, what
- 1794 happens?
- 1795 Spv. Kassel:
- 1796 Well, it's his responsibility.
- 1797 Spv. Scarborough:
- 1798 I get that. That's okay.
- 1799 Audience Member:
- 1800 People with dogs like that, don't take responsibility.

1801	Spv. Scarborough:
1802	I know that.
1803	Spv. Berube:
1804	How many times are you going to do this with this guy?
1805	Spv. Scarborough:
1806	But we're not law enforcement. We're not civil authorities.
1807	Spv. Kramer:
1808 1809 1810	I need to call the meeting to order. We can't control what happens when somebody's walking down the street with their dog on a leash, we can control our property. We can control access to our property. And that's what we've got before us at this time.
1811	Spv. Kassel:
1812 1813 1814 1815	Mr. Attorney, there are signs posted at the dog parks. If there's a disturbance, please call the Sheriff's office. If we send him a letter saying that his privileges are revoked with these dogs at the dog parks and that if he enters the dog parks, he will be trespassing, then we can ask the sheriff to enforce.
1816	District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824 1825 1826 1827 1828	Let me just read what the statute says, and we're all playing by the same rules. So, you guys have the ability to operate your facilities for parks and recreation and to adopt and enforce appropriate rules in connection with doing so. But then it goes on to expressly state, you do not have police power. So, this is a question of what kind of rules can you have to regulate your infrastructure, that doesn't get over into the police power. And when you're taking somebody's liberties away, that tends to get closer to that. So, your motion to address this subject to the controlling authorities, I think is the best way to go. Then you'll get some guidance. But see, the rules for the pools are then you can access it, how you behave there. If there's a violation there, you need to enforce that, and you need to enforce it consistently. And I don't know that your rules now address what the penalties are. I would be really hesitant to just revoke it forever. And then you certainly want to give the gentleman ability to come to a meeting and address the subject as well because he has that right under the rules.
1829	DM Montagna:
1830 1831	And that's pretty typical. If your rights get revoked, then you have to come to a Board meeting and approach the Board to get them back.

1834 Spv. Berube:

Spv. Kassel:

So where does that leave us?

1832

1835 Your motion was okay because counsel says subject to further review with the appropriate 1836 authorities, which means Sheriff's office and probably going to be animal control. 1837 Spv. Kassel: 1838 And, how does he get to address that with us? 1839 Spv. Kramer: 1840 He requests the hearings before the Board. 1841 **District Counsel: Qualls:** 1842 In our letter to him, we would remind him of your existing rule, that a decision is made affecting his 1843 substantial interests and he has the right to request a hearing within X number of days. Spv. Kassel: 1844 1845 Do I have a second? 1846 Spv. Kramer: We do. All in favor? 1847 1848 Spv.s Kassel, Berube, Scarborough, Leet, Kramer: 1849 Aye. Spv. Kramer: 1850 1851 Any opposed? Hearing no opposition, motion passed. 1852 1853 On MOTION by Spv. Kassel seconded by Chairwoman Kramer, 1854 with all in favor, the Board revokes Mr. Braverman's privilege 1855 to use Harmony's dog parks subject to review by Counsel with Sheriff and Animal Control by which Counsel will draft a letter 1856 advising him of same and of his right to request Board review. 1857 1858 1859 1860 Supv. Kramer: 1861 Next item on the agenda. Review of flat fee for legal services. Oh, and on that last item, can we get 1862 the estimates for our next meeting? 1863 Spv. Leet: Okay. Do we think solar is an option for the power requirements for that lock? Is that something 1864 1865 that could solar and battery versus trenching? Mr. Van der Snel:

1867 1868	Yes, but it's probably more costly than trenching. So now the distance is shorter, you don't need to do that much trenching.
1869	Area Field Services Spv.:
1870	For clarification, there's only two boxes that you'll need power too.
1871	Mr. Van der Snel:
1872 1873	Right. For the existing dog park and for the new dog park. Would you like to implement that too because then you have to close out another box for the new dog part too?
1874	Spv. Kramer:
1875	Yes, and it's a brand-new box.
1876	Mr. Van der Snel:
1877	There's no power to it.
1878	Spv. Kramer:
1879	We'll look at a variety.
1880	Spv. Kramer:
1881 1882 1883	Review of flat fee for legal services. Again, we had instituted a flat fee for legal services in the past. We can take this up now but we have got a lot of reports. We can table this till the next meeting and go over it then.
1884	Spv. Berube:
1885	Well, to review, it saves money, without a doubt.
1886	Spv. Kramer:
1887	We will go further over it in the next meeting.
1888	
1889	DM Montagna:
1890	Table it.
1891	
1892	SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Staff Reports
1893	
1894	District Engineer
1895	District Engineer, David Hamstra:
1896	For those in the audience that don't want to hear about all the engineering, you're welcome to
1897	leave

But I'll go through it quickly. The Estates. Some of those inlets were under, actually, literally two

- 1899 feet or 18 inches up below the dirt. So, within the Estates, there are 12 inlets outside the right-of-
- 1900 way in people's rear yards. When they developed the Estates, they allowed the lots to be filled and
- then the open space behind the lots remain wooded, but unfortunately, some of these inlets that we
- have gotten clean measured the water levels. When the ponds fill up to their normal water level,
- 1903 will literally back up and flood some of these lower areas. And I will tell you, I've never in my life
- seen mosquitoes so aggressive and nasty back there. So we have to figure out a way to drain those
- lower areas. And I don't want to put pumps everywhere, it's not practical, but it's a bad situation.
- 1906 As we keep pushing more and more houses being built, we're going to lose more storage and
- maybe have the water stage higher. And so at a minimum, I'm going to write a letter to the county
- on, there's three pipes identified that I think are full of dirt. When Gerhard's staff unearthed the 12
- inlets, three of them are packed solid with dirt and there's no way they're going to work. I'm sure
- 1910 whatever dirt is in there is in the 12-inch pipes. We need to get blown out. So I'll do a memo to your
- 1911 guys and a separate one to the county because the county will do the right-of-way. They're not
- 1912 going to go beyond the right-of-way for maintenance. We may have to get a vac truck or something
- to clean those pipes. So we know the cause, the culprit. I'm not quite sure how we can practically fix
- it yet. I know Mr. and Mrs. Gable have been very patient and they've allowed me back there several times to look at what's going on. I appreciate Gerhard phoned the home builder right away when
- they put the silt fences up to protect the what we've already exposed, but it's a slight design issue.
- 1917 We just got to figure out a practical way to fix those problems, so those homeowners don't have to
- deal with water backing up for prolonged periods of time, and a very aggressive mosquito
- 1919 environment.
- 1920 Spv. Kramer:
- 1921 We did get mosquito control out there. They understand, they've done a larva count and hopefully,
- 1922 they're treating.
- 1923 District Engineer: David Hamstra:
- 1924 It's bad.
- 1925 Spv. Kramer:
- 1926 We'll keep on top of them.
- 1927 District Engineer: David Hamstra:
- 1928 I will keep you posted.
- 1929 Spv. Berube:
- 1930 I was out there with the county today, with the sewer truck and they were sucking up some of the
- storm drains and the street drains and heavy accumulation of pine needles. That's what's clogging
- because when you use a sewer truck, you can see what goes into the truck, but a greater concern,
- they found some of the storm drains with concrete debris down them. Chunks.
- 1934 Spv. Kramer:
- 1935 Somebody's washing out in our storm drains.

- 1936 Spv. Berube:
- 1937 Yes and throwing junk concrete down there. And they had to work to get those out because the job
- 1938 ended today because it started to rain, but the county is diligently working and they're finding stuff
- in the storm drains, concrete, that shouldn't be there. So, people are dumping stuff now. But the
- 1940 pine needles are a real problem.
- 1941 Spv. Kramer:
- 1942 Cherry Hill.
- 1943 District Engineer: David Hamstra:
- 1944 Cherry Hill, we've got a survey proposal to survey 3170, who's the culprit and his two neighbors on
- either sides. I will tell you when I look at the Steve Boyd construction plans, swales were not
- intended back there in the first place. They were supposed to build a house and then slope the rear
- 1947 yard to match the top of the bank at the pond. But for some reason, the house, the yards went down
- and there's a swale in-between, that wasn't by design. That's what exists today. So I got a surveyor,
- Johnston Surveying, sorry, Teresa. I can't get a second proposal in time, but it's \$1,200, which I
- think is very practical. They survey three lots to do a drawing that I can use. I need to give to Angel,
- 1951 Gerhard and Brett to solicit a contractor to do the grading, the sodding, and fix it.
- 1952 So with your permission, if the Board would consider a \$1,200 authorization to get Johnson
- 1953 Engineering to survey those three rare lots so we can properly grade them, locate the property
- 1954 corner. So, whoever does do the work is not encroaching on private property. And the work has
- actually done in the CDD open space.
- 1956 Spv. Berube:
- 1957 Is this to eliminate the swale and just let it all be sloping?
- 1958 District Engineer: David Hamstra:
- No, it's the fix. The gentleman at 3170, that built his pool really close to the swale and the one to the
- east or north or south, where the water keeps ponding when it rains. I don't want to fill every lot,
- it'd be too expensive.
- 1962 Spv. Kramer:
- 1963 Any objection to the Johnston Surveying getting started?
- 1964 Spv. Kassel:
- 1965 Do we need to do a Board approval?
- 1966 Spv. Kramer:
- 1967 Yes.
- 1968 Spv. Kassel:
- 1969 So, I move to approve the \$1,200 survey at Johnston.

1970 1971	Spv. Berube: Second.
1972 1973	Spv. Kramer: All in favor?
1974 1975	Spv. Berube, Kassel, Scarborough, Leet and Kramer: Aye.
1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981	Spv. Kramer: All opposed? Hearing none. Motion passes unanimously.  On MOTION by Spv. Kassel, seconded by Spv. Leet, with all in favor, the Board approved Johnston Surveying for \$1200.00, which will be charged back to the resident
1982 1983	Spv. Berube: This is all on the homeowner's account, right?
1984 1985	District Engineer, David Hamstra: Yes. He's going to get billed for all of these things.
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993	District Engineer, David Hamstra:  House Bill 53. I think I'm going to ignore for now because we've really got a lot on the agenda, but it basically says by June of next year, we have to inventory and tell the government, how we're going to take care of our infrastructure, the condition of it and anything that's going to be done. The memo that I gave you that's in there was well written by a firm that brought this up to another CDD, and I'm just informing all the CDDs and every city and county's going through this with the inventory of their storm water, their sewer and their water and reports to the government about what they're going to do to keep it up .
1994 1995	Spv. Kramer: Are we going to have to scope our system and do all the evaluation?
1996 1997	District Engineer, David Hamstra: I don't think we're there yet. I think they want the inventory at this point.
1998 1999	Spv. Kramer: They just want the inventory, right now.
2000	District Engineer, David Hamstra:

2001 We have a pretty good one with Steve's prior work. Okay, great. Footbridges, I'll leave to Gerhard. I 2002 think we're done. You may be going back and forth on some punch list items, but I think the 2003 footbridge is done as far as I know. 2004 Mr. Van der Snel: I think it's just the holes that need to be filled up. 2005 Spv. Berube: 2006 To that point, when we did the change order, there was some commentary about sealant and I 2007 presume that meant sealing? Spv. Kramer: 2008 2009 It is in there if you touch it, you feel it's not concrete that they put that. It's almost like caulking and 2010 you touch it and it's soft. Spv. Berube: 2011 2012 The surface or the concrete? 2013 Spv. Kramer: 2014 Between the joints. 2015 District Engineer, David Hamstra: 2016 Underneath of it, we had them pump grout, which we had to do it twice because the first time it wasn't done properly, he took ownership of it, broke it all out. Did it again. And I got some probes 2017 2018 and they actually did fill the voids that went quite a bit back underneath the concrete slabs. 2019 Spv. Berube: 2020 What I'm talking about is where the approach pad comes in and it's on an angle and it kind of meets the structure of the bridge. So that they're both on a V, but I didn't see any seal in that joint. 2021 2022 Spv. Kramer: 2023 No, these are the joints between two concrete panels. There's a panel that the garbage can sits on a and then there's the panel to the approach for the footbridge. That sealant went between those two 2024 2025 concrete panels. 2026 District Engineer, David Hamstra: 2027 Between the trashcan and when you approach the bridge, it was about a half to three-quarters of 2028 an inch. And that's what got filled in with a sealant.

2029

2030

2031

2032

Spv. Kramer: Pliable seal.

Spv. Berube:

I will look a little more carefully at that.

- 2033 District Engineer, David Hamstra:
- The dog park we talked about, Five Oaks Drive crosswalk is done. The county put in the proper
- signage, so that's in good shape. Billy's Trail, Dan I will give you what I have and we can get
- 2036 together. The sidewalk maintenance, I have your final sidewalk exhibit. I got a digital file to give
- you as well. We have a CDD wide overall map, and then we got it in the four quads or sectors.
- 2038 District Manager:
- 2039 I need those.
- 2040 District Engineer, David Hamstra:
- Okay. I'll give you the digital, then I'll give Gerhard the paper copy. And we also have a spreadsheet.
- For every sector, it's got the width, the length. So now you can start doing quantity takeoffs and
- things like that. It includes the ramps. Thanks for everybody's input about what is CDD, what's not
- 2044 CDD. It's all color-coded now based on width. So hopefully it'll be very helpful for Gerhard's
- sidewalk maintenance program moving forward. We're almost done. Five Oaks Drive sidewalk
- repair. You probably saw my email to Arrow Pavement, basically saying you didn't ask for
- 2047 permission. You took it upon yourself to go thicker than you should have. He responded by saying,
- "Can you at least meet me halfway? Because my proposal says four inches, and allows me some
- adjustments for a thicker product," then he went from four to seven or eight. I didn't respond to
- 2050 him, because I'm still stating, that we don't owe him the change order. But do you guys have any
- 2051 desire to give him something?
- 2052 Spv. Kramer:
- No. I checked with our legal counsel. I reviewed the contract, basically when he accepted that final
- 2054 payment check. That completed it.
- 2055 District Engineer, David Hamstra:
- We talked about RV parking lot already. And then Steve Boyd gave me the easement documents to
- be signed before you guys leave. Thank you very much.
- 2058 DM: Montagna:
- 2059 Perfect. Thank you.
- 2060 Spv. Kassel:
- 2061 When can we expect the reserve study?
- 2062 District Engineer: David Hamstra:
- 2063 The reserve study should be coming out.
- 2064
- 2065 DM Montagna:
- 2066 In about a month or two.
- 2067 Spv. Kassel:

2068	Thank you.
2069	Spv. Kramer:
2070	Christmas present.
2071	DM: Montagna:
2072	Yes.
2073	
2074	District Counsel
2075	District Counsel Tim Qualls:
2076 2077 2078	Good evening. I think if you have any questions on items one, two, three, and four, those don't require any action by the Board. I'll start with the items may require action. One is the change order policy. We updated your procurement policy.
2079	Spv. Kramer:
2080	He just added a line at the bottom for the change order policy. It can't go there.
2081	District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
2082	You guys can adopt subject to putting it wherever you want or you don't have to adopt it.
2083	Spv. Kramer:
2084 2085	We just need to make sure we know it does not just apply to contracts over \$5,000. It applies to all, any contract, so we need to just move it up to the requirements for all procurement section.
2086	District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
2087 2088	Okay. So, we'll move that, then if you want to adopt that subject to that change, I think you'd want to make a motion just to make it clear on the record.
2089	Spv. Kramer:
2090	Do I hear a motion?
2091	Spv. Kassel:
2092	Do we have the motion? Can you state the motion for us?
2093	District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
2094	The motion would be, to approve the change to your procurement policy in order to allow for some
2095 2096 2097	instances of approval of a change order without it having to come before the Board, either $5,000$ or $10\%$ , whichever is less. It's a limited approval, but it avoids having to come back to the entire Board for minor change orders.

Spv. Scarborough:

- 2099 So moved. 2100 Spv. Kassel: So seconded. 2101 Spv. Kramer: 2102 And that language would go up to the Requirements for All Contracts section. 2103 2104 District Counsel, Tim Qualls: 2105 The next item is-2106 Spv. Kramer: Second? 2107 2108 Spv. Kassel: 2109 No, he moved. I seconded. Spv. Kramer: 2110 I have a motion and a second. All in favor? 2111 2112 All Supervisors: 2113 Aye. 2114 Spv. Kramer: 2115 Any opposed? Hearing none. Motion passes. 2116 2117 2118 On MOTION by Spv. Scarborough, seconded by Spv. Kassel, with all in favor, to approve the change to Harmony's 2119 procurement policy in order to allow approval of a change 2120 2121 order without it having to come before the Board, either \$5,000 or 10%, whichever is less. 2122 2123 2124 District Counsel, Tim Qualls: 2125 Item seven also would require action. If the board is still inclined, which is you have in your agenda 2126 packet a resolution. That resolution states that the District has the ability to contract with the tow company, under Florida law, to tow improperly parked vehicles. Those are vehicles that are parked 2127 at a facility where the owner of the vehicle is not actively utilizing the facility. The facility is broadly 2128 2129 defined, but it's your parks and recreation, but it's much broader than that actually.
- 2130 Spv. Kassel:
- 2131 Somebody leaves a vehicle there for long periods of time. We can have it removed.

2132 Spv. Kramer: 2133 It's written in a way such that we can use it in the future if we designate specific parking if we say staff parking only at the staff trailer, we can do that. So it's not just recreational facilities. And then 2134 we'll be able to, with the new development that's proposed, we're going to have some serious 2135 2136 parking problems and better to get ahead of it. District Counsel, Tim Qualls: 2137 2138 Yes 2139 Spv. Kramer: 2140 I have a motion. Do I hear a second? 2141 DM Montagna: 2142 No motion was made, we need a motion. 2143 Spv. Kassel: 2144 No, we needed a resolution number to do that. 2145 DM Montagna: 2146 It'll be numbered in after approval prior to being finalized. 2147 Spv. Kramer: 2148 It'll be numbered after it's approved. 2149 Spv. Kassel: 2150 Okay, so moved. 2151 Spv. Kramer: 2152 This is the towing policy. 2153 Spv. Kassel: 2154 So moved, seconded, voted. 2155 Spv. Kramer: 2156 We have a motion and a second, all in favor? 2157 All Supervisors:

2161

2158

2159 2160 Aye.

On MOTION by Spv. Scarborough, seconded by Supv. Kassel

with all in favor, the Towing Resolution was approved.

- 2162 Spv. Kramer:
- 2163 Which we have really had all this time because our sign said so, but we just didn't do it legally, now
- 2164 we'll be able to enforce it.
- 2165 District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
- Unless there are any other questions, but it's a detailed report there in front of you. And so those
- are the items I see that would require action. On the towing, we do have a little bit we have to work
- on. There is some language in this statute that says "you don't have to do public bidding for the
- 2169 tow company. As long as they're on a list approved by Osceola County." The county ordinance does
- 2170 reference a rotational towing list. We're just confirming with the one company that responded to
- 2171 make sure they're on that rotational list.
- 2172 Spv. Kramer:
- 2173 We expect to be implementing it right away.
- 2174 District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
- 2175 Assuming the law is complied with, yes.
- 2176 Spv. Kramer:
- 2177 Are we also implementing the temporary access easement agreement? It was approved. I'm just
- 2178 asking if it's being implemented.
- 2179 Spv. Kassel:
- 2180 But, we do have the tree policy?
- 2181 Spv. Kramer:
- 2182 The tree trimming policy. The policy that was proposed and is in the agenda package, I think goes
- 2183 way beyond what we are looking for.
- 2184 Spv. Kassel:
- 2185 12 pages? Whew!
- 2186 District Counsel: Qualls:
- 2187 Sorry, I like less is more. I'd rather you tell me to cut stuff out, then re-add stuff.
- 2188 Spv. Kassel:
- 2189 It is so legalese, this is the policy that our residents are going to have to follow and they're going to
- 2190 have to understand, it's got to be in lay language.
- 2191 Spv. Kramer:
- We're not doing it, we are throwing it out. We're going to contract to take care of our trees and
- 2193 that'll be done. Does that make sense?

- 2194 Spv. Kassel:
- The policy is a message to the homeowners to say, "you can't trim your trees. We're going to trim
- your trees. And if you trim your trees, you're going to be in violation of CDD policy."
- 2197 Spv. Kramer:
- 2198 We will make a quick policy that says just that.
- 2199 District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
- We are working with Brett and the Arborist to get us the specifics of the Harmony tree
- 2201 maintenance program. I asked all of the local government attorneys for an example of a policy.
- That's the one that we tracked down from Sanford.
- 2203 Spv. Kramer:
- 2204 That they never adopted because it was too long.
- 2205 District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
- You don't know why they didn't adopt it. I know what you want me to do, put the policy you tell
- me to put.
- 2208 Spv. Kramer:
- We will be working on this in the future.
- 2210
- 2211 Spv. Berube:
- 2212 If you ask a lawyer to write a policy's going to be done in Legalese.
- 2213 Spv. Kramer:
- Filing the claim for Harmony Retail versus Steve Berube, that's been filed. You've provided the
- 2215 information?
- 2216 DM Montagna:
- Yeah, right after the last meeting.
- 2218 Spv. Kramer:
- 2219 Our District Manager filed a claim with our insurance company. They are reviewing it. Because it's
- fairly far into it, they have a lot to review and they will be getting back with us hopefully before the
- 2221 next meeting.
- 2222 District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
- The only other thing just to be thinking about is on the extremely generous offer of First Nature
- Ranch, the Ethics Commission rendered and informal opinion. So, you may want to plan for space

2225 that's not there for your short-term planning. And I am working with Supervisor Kassel to get some

- 2226 further clarification
- 2227 Spv. Kassel:
- We got an informal opinion that says that even though I don't accept any money from the
- Foundation and I don't accept any money from the CDD Board that, and I have a stated policy of
- 2230 how much I charge and I'm giving the use for less, that I still have a conflict of interest because I'm
- a Board member of both organizations.
- 2232 Spv. Kramer:
- But you're making a decision of how to spend the Board's money and that money goes for
- something you're attached to.
- 2235 Spv. Kassel:
- I don't want to take that chance. And so we're requesting a formal opinion.
- 2237
- 2238 Spv. Berube:
- 2239 If there were no money being exchanged, would it then be an issue?
- 2240 District Counsel: Qualls:
- Just on this particular statute? Yes. It just simply says you may not do business with your own
- agency.
- 2243 Spv. Berube:
- No, if there is no money changing hands. Would it still be a conflict?
- 2245 District Counsel, Tim Qualls:
- 2246 I still think they would flag that particular section, but here's the way this works. I'll sit down. I
- know those attorneys over there. I know the executive director we'll talk it through. What they
- have to do is, they have to tell you if, on its face, it would be violative of that one statute. And the
- answer to that is ves, but there's a litary of statutes that play here. And remember the staff
- 2250 attorneys don't make the decision at the end of the day of whether there's an ethics violation. It's
- 2251 the members of the commission, and so what they're trying to do is, is make sure that all these
- things are considered.
- 2253 Spv. Kramer:
- Now, I have a question here, Spv. Kassel very generously foregoes her monthly payment for serving
- on the CDD and she has for her 4 terms so far, but if at anytime, she should chose to accept that
- 2256 money and then she can chose, totally separate from anything else to donate it to Nature First.

- 2257 Spv. Kassel: But, it is still an ethical violation because we will still have a contract and obligations. 2258 2259 District Counsel, Tim Qualls: 2260 I want to be kind here and I know you all are experts on a wide variety of subjects and for 2261 somebody to say with this set of facts, will you be able to go in front of those seven, do-gooders and 2262 escape unscathed, you got to flag this issue and you got to address it. Does that mean that it's a flat 2263 out a problem? No, I've dealt with this with other clients where they say, look check your first amendment rights at the door. You just got to, there's a totality of circumstances that has to be 2264 considered. 2265 Spv. Kramer: 2266 We will leave this for now. 2267 Spv. Scarborough: 2268 2269 Get the totality considered and let us know what happens. 2270 District Counsel, Tim Qualls: 2271 Yes, that's what we're doing. Thank you. 2272 2273 Spv. Berube: 2274 So what I expect we are doing, Spv. Kassel cannot be nice and tell us, just come on over because 2275 that isn't going to work either. District Counsel, Tim Qualls: That would be a different set of facts, 2276 because in our set of facts we said it would be at just a reduced rate and that could be very valid, 2277 but here's the thing, we want to get the Commission to opine in advance and that way, if we get the right answer and somebody would file a complaint—it is just better to get these answers up front. 2278 2279 Spv. Kramer: 2280 We are moving on. 2281 2282 FIELD MANAGER 2283 Mr. Van der Snel:
- You get my weekly reports. If any concerns or questions about that, then I only have one request.
- The HROA events, are not going as well as that I anticipated at the end of the event, no cleanup is
- arranged by the organizer. And I would really like to have that arranged by the organizer now CDD,
- or Inframark is picking it up and it's creating quite a mess. So my question is can we implement
- 2288 that?
- 2289 Spv. Kramer:

I would think because we don't have any exclusion in our rules that they should put down a deposit

- 2291 like anybody else. And if they don't clean up, then we should,
- 2292 Spv. Kassel:
- Well, we have not required that in the past.
- 2294 Spv. Berube:
- The president of the HROA is here, you know the lady who's putting on the events, it's a simple
- 2296 question of making sure that she knows she got to clean up. And I guarantee that she will.
- 2297 Spv. Kramer:
- Yeah, if she has a deposit down, there will be definitely be no issues.
- 2299 Spv. Kassel:
- Well, that will have to be a decision on the part of the Board as to whether, this Board, will require
- a deposit on HROA events. That's not up to the HROA that's up to this Board.
- 2302 Spv. Kramer:
- 2303 That's correct. If this Board chooses, well, right now, it's in our rules and requirements that we do
- 2304 charge a deposit.
- 2305 Spv. Kassel:
- 2306 But we have not done so for the HROA board.
- 2307 Spv. Kramer:
- 2308 I'm not sure why that is.
- 2309 Spv. Kassel:
- 2310 Because it's for residents.
- 2311 Spv. Kramer:
- 2312 I understand. But it's just a deposit. It's not a charge, we will be providing that back to them upon
- clean up.
- 2314 Spv. Leet:
- 2315 Going forward, based on what we're hearing, maybe there is cause for doing that.
- 2316 Spv. Berube:
- 2317 I'm going to tell you if you just ask Jen to make sure she hires cleanup people after the event to
- clean it up, otherwise she going to get charged for it. She'll do it. She got the budget to do it. It's that
- 2319 simple.
- 2320 Mr. Van der Snel:

- 2321 It's been an ongoing problem that has been discussed with her numerous times.
- 2322 Spv. Kramer:
- 2323 I'll move that we charge the HROA a deposit. They can put a deposit for all the ongoing events. It
- just sits there. Then that will just ensure that there's incentive to get it cleaned.
- 2325 DM Montagna:
- What's the deposit amount? \$250.00
- 2327
- 2328 Spv. Kassel:
- We have a motion. We would need a second in order to have a discussion.
- 2330 Spv. Kramer:
- I've made a motion. Is there a second for them to provide us with a check for \$250, won't be
- cashed, but will be held in case there is an issue
- 2333 DM Montagna:
- 2334 If it's not cleaned up, then Gerhard verifies that, our policy would be to notify them to say it wasn't
- cleaned up. So, your deposit is going to be used to do the cleanup, unless they get out there and
- clean it up.
- 2337 Spv. Leet:
- 2338 I'll second it.
- 2339 Spv. Scarborough:
- 2340 It doesn't incentivize., I love Jennifer. It doesn't incentivize her to do anything.
- 2341 Spv. Berube:
- 2342 It's going to come out of her budget.
- 2343 Spv. Scarborough:
- 2344 250 bucks.
- 2345 Spv. Kessel:
- So here's my suggestion, I'm texting her. As we speak to say, I need to talk to you. I'm the new
- 2347 president I need to talk to you. What's say we tell her that she needs to clean up. I'll tell her she
- needs to clean up after her events. And if she doesn't, in a month or two, if we have the same issue,
- then we institute a \$250 deposit on the HROA.
- 2350 DM: Montagna:

2351	Her next event is on November 13th.
2352	Mr. Van der Snel:
2353	It's the Fall Festival.
2354	Spv. Berube:
2355 2356	Tell her to take money from her budget and hire some people to clean up. This is a new budget. She's got plenty of money.
2357	Mr. Van der Snel:
2358	I've advised her of this a couple of times.
2359	Supv. Kramer:
2360	We have a motion and a second.
2361	Spv. Kramer:
2362	All in, in favor.
2363	Spv. Scarborough, Leet and Kramer:
2364	Aye.
2365	Spv. Kramer:
2366	Any opposed?
2367	Spv. Kassel and Berube: Nay.
2368	
2369	
2370	On MOTION by Spv. Kramer, seconded by Spv. Scarborough
2371	with three in favor, and two opposed, Supv. Berube and Supv.
2372	Kassel, the motion to charge the HROA a \$250.00 deposit for
2373	events passes.
2374	Supv. Kassel:
2375	The motion was to charge them \$250 every time they want to have an event.
2376	DM Montagna:
2377	No, no.
2378	Spv. Berube:

2379

They put a deposit to ensure clean-up.

2380	Spv. Kassel:
2381 2382	So it sits there so that when they have an event, there is a deposit on hand to pay for clean-up if required?
2383	
2384	Spv. Berube:
2385	Do we have additional trash containers that can be put at these events? Because that's a problem
2386	
2387	District Manager:
2388 2389	Why wouldn't the event organizer provide everything that they need to run this event that is the way events are run.
2390	Spv. Scarborough:
2391 2392 2393	I don't object the \$250. I'm just saying, I don't know that it incentivizes anybody to, if the events over and the trash is left, does the CDD come in and say, "oh look, there's trash. Let's go hire somebody to", that's not how that's going to work, how does that work?
2394	
2395	Spv. Leet:
2396	And in any Facilities Use, if that happens, then we would not return the deposit if they trash-
2397	DM Montagna:
2398	What's been happening is that if they leave it trashed, then we go out and clean it up.
2399	Spv. Kramer:
2400	That's not it. It is a deposit of \$250 that would be used to towards the cleanup.
2401	Spv. Kassel:
2402	When they have an event, there's a deposit on hand to pay for a cleanup. If required.
2403	Spv. Berube:
2404 2405	Right. Then we would pay. That's our other option. If she leaves it messy, we'll just keep the \$250 deposit. If you don't want to hire cleaning crew, we will charge her \$250 to clean up and keep it.
2406	Spv. Kassel:
2407	I wanted to give her the option to do it herself before we do it.
2408	Spv. Kramer:

2409 2410 2411 2412	We've got the replacement of the pool furniture. It's holding together right now with the repairs you've made but we need to consider replacing it. That's on your agenda item. Very expensive. I looked through several of the sites that the proposals came from and they have a wide variety. Is there a way to actually look at the furniture or maybe get samples?
2413	Mr. Van der Snel:
2414 2415	This is actually the exact same furniture that we have. It's evolved into a better-quality material over 16 years when we got it. These were made out of old milk jugs and deteriorates in the UV.
2416	Spv. Kramer:
2417 2418	I'm just amazed. Except for those cracks where they drill the whole is too close. That stuff is held up amazingly well and it looks like it's brand new.
2419	Mr. Van der Snel:
2420	The problem with it is, it always fails at the joint.
2421	Spv. Kassel:
2422	That's right.
2423	Spv. Kramer:
2424	We'll table that. And we also need fence proposals.
2425	Spv. Kramer:
2426	Next up is the District Manager.
2427	
2428	EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS District Manager
2429	DM: Montagna:
2430	The Harmony invoices, I'm going to table that.
2431	DM: Montagna:
2432 2433 2434 2435	The website. I know we talked about it last time. I'm getting a lot of calls regarding ADA compliance and can't find stuff on the website, updates, the generality of things. My biggest thing is the ADA. You have to watch out for that. There's a lot of that going on right now and it's going to trickle down.
2436	Spv. Kramer:
2437 2438 2439 2440	Now there's a group and I keep hearing about them and I know you discussed them like before I came on the Board, the Lighthouse. I know they're staffed with folks that will actually review our website and advise us of what is and isn't compliant and how to correct it. Is it possible we might want to contract with them?

- 2441 DM: Montagna:
- 2442 I can get you proposals. There's a number of companies out there for ADA compliance, things that
- will do your whole website so I can get them for the next meeting.
- 2444 District Counsel, Tim Qualls: I think Supervisor Farnsworth did that already. You've already gotten
- 2445 the report from them.
- 2446 Spv. Berube:
- 2447 We've been down the road with many of those compliance companies and they all have an angle
- and an objective, and the objective gets really expensive really quickly and Spv. Farnsworth did an
- 2449 admirable job, dragging up the pertinent stuff and did it for nothing and got that compliant. The key
- 2450 to this is and Spv. Leet understands you have got to be careful what you post, it's got to be
- 2451 convertible. And one is convertible for readability. You're safe. And I know where you understand
- 2452 that. So I don't think we have a huge problem with the website and there's probably a lot of stuff on
- there that should get jettison.

- 2455 Spv. Kassel:
- 2456 How many years did we decide to keep?
- 2457 Spv. Kramer:
- We were required to keep seven. My personal thought is if it's up there, it's already ADA compliant.
- 2459 Why jettison it unless it's costing us.
- 2460 Spv. Leet:
- 2461 The point is making sure that, and this goes with the review and everything, I mean the package for
- 2462 it was just the files were, bigger than they've ever been. And the important part is that they're just
- as long text searchable, then we can say that we're, making the effort we're not trying to keep
- 2464 anything hidden. We're providing all that information publicly and that it's accessible. It's then up
- 2465 to the user to -
- 2466 Spv. Kassel:
- 2467 But we got some complaints about stuff that some of it wasn't
- 2468 DM: Montagna:
- 2469 It's very hard to navigate through. You can't find anything. Not user friendly.
- 2470 Spv. Leet:
- 2471 Specifically, about the agenda?
- 2472 DM: Montagna:
- No, ADA I'm talking about because there are pieces coming up again about, they're hitting websites
- 2474 again.

- 2475 Spv. Kassel:
- 2476 But have you got complaints about our website?

- 2478 District Manager:
- Yes. User friendliness. Nothing to do with ADA. It's about user friendliness, two separate issues.
- 2480 Spv. Berube:
- Here's the deal on that. People using their phone, it doesn't work well on mobile phones. This is not
- a mobile type website.
- 2483 Spv. Kramer:
- 2484 It is hard to find things.
- 2485 Spv. Leet:
- I can click this, or I can scroll down, click this other thing and they take it. I agree. They can be
- 2487 streamlined.
- 2488 Spv. Scarborough:
- 2489 Yes.
- 2490 Spv. Kramer:
- There is another individual in the neighborhood that's volunteered to help with the website.
- 2492 Supv. Leet:
- 2493 Okay.
- 2494 Spv. Kramer:
- 2495 So afterwards I'll give you his contact information.
- 2496 Spv. Leet:
- 2497 Great.
- 2498 Spv. Kramer:
- 2499 Check him out and let us know.
- 2500 Spv. Leet:
- 2501 I will.
- 2502 Spv. Kramer:
- You have to make sure that somebody knows what they're doing

2504 2505 2506	Spv. Leet: Absolutely. I mean, taking nothing away from what Spv. Farnsworth has done in the past, but I mean, the website has looked similar to this going back years and years.
2507 2508	Spv. Scarborough: That's just like a WordPress template. That's been converted.
2509 2510 2511	Spv. Leet: So if you look at it and you know, more modern websites that are designed around one of those, it's going to be a lot more streamlined and easier to get to things.
2512 2513 2514	Spv. Kramer: Any other business to come before the Board?
2515 2516 2517	NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Supervisors Request None
2518	TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Adjournment
2519	Spv. Kassel:
2520	Move to adjourn.
2521	Supv. Kramer:
2522	Seconded.
2523	All Supervisors:
2524 2525	Aye.
2526 2527 2528 2529	On MOTION by Supv. Kassel seconded by Supv. Berube, with all in favor, the Board moved to adjourn the meeting
2530 2531 2532 2533	Teresa Gramer Chair/Vice Chair
	/ Tive dimi