

MINUTES OF MEETING HARMONY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Harmony Community Development District was held Thursday, August 28, 2014, at 6:00 p.m. at 7251 Five Oaks Drive, Harmony, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Steve Berube	Chairman
Ray Walls	Vice Chairman
David Farnsworth	Assistant Secretary
Kerul Kassel	Assistant Secretary
Mark LeMenager	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Gary Moyer	Manager: Moyer Management Group
Tim Qualls	Attorney: Young, vanAssenderp, P.A.
Steve Boyd	Engineer: Boyd Civil Engineering
Bob Glantz	Harmony Development Company
Greg Gologowski	Harmony Development Company
Todd Haskett	Harmony Development Company
Garth Rinard	Davey Tree
Joe Tramell	Harmony Development Company
Gerhard van der Snel	District Staff
Chip Webb	Harmony Development Company
Residents and Members of the Public	

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Berube called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

Mr. Berube called the roll and stated a quorum was present for the meeting.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of the Minutes of the July 31, 2014 Meeting

Mr. Berube reviewed the minutes of the July 31, 2014, meeting, and requested any additions, corrections, notations, or deletions.

On MOTION by Mr. LeMenager, seconded by Mr. Walls, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the minutes of the July 31, 2014 meeting.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Audience Comments

Mr. Moyer stated I received a signed request form from Ms. Pam LeMenager relative to crepe myrtles.

Ms. LeMenager stated last evening at the town meeting, I heard that crepe myrtles may be placed from the St. Cloud entrance down to the roundabout in between the sand oaks. I would like for you to consider whether or not this is a good idea because the crepe myrtles that are currently in our community are not being pruned back, but are being allowed to grow a full crown. In a few years, those crepe myrtles will be growing into the sand oaks. Currently at the dog park just off Cat Briar, we have crepe myrtles very close to the sand oaks. They have not bloomed this year because the top crown is totally engulfed in the crown of the sand oaks. Also in a couple of the parks, I noticed that the crepe myrtles have been getting brown leaves because of possibly lack of fertilization or maybe they have gotten too large and are getting close to the end of their life.

Mr. Berube stated I think there was something in the agenda package from Davey Tree regarding brown leaves on crepe myrtles. I think they are already addressing those. What you heard last night about crepe myrtles being placed along that new entrance was the first time that I heard about it and the rest of us heard about it as well.

Ms. LeMenager stated think carefully if that plan goes through.

Mr. Berube stated I am sure that the landscape package they will provide is going to be dissected quite thoroughly. Your point is taken. We understand.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Hearing for the Fiscal Year 2015 Budget

A. Fiscal Year 2015 Budget

Mr. Moyer stated at this time, I recommend that the Board open the public hearing now. The Board or I can make a brief overview of the budget and allow anyone in the audience to comment on it. Then we will need a motion to close the public hearing and turn it over to Board discussion.

Mr. Berube opened the public hearing.

Mr. Berube stated starting this month, we are getting \$1,120 reduced maintenance fees on the street lights as a result of the purchase of H-1 street light facilities. That is a \$13,000 net change to the budget. I do not know if we want to change budget line items now or just let it ride and fix it next year if we go over budget. Part of that is offset by when those street lights come on; there is going to be \$281 per month that will be charged to us, for a \$900 a month change. Does the Board want to let it run the way it is and let it all work out?

Mr. LeMenager responded in the grand scheme of things, that is a pretty small percentage.

Mr. Berube stated that is what I thought. The point is we are changing, but I am okay with leaving it alone.

Ms. Kassel stated I just want to make a distinction. You said purchase of the street lights. We are not purchasing the street lights. We are buying out the lease upfront.

Mr. Berube stated right. We are assuming street lights through a financial package.

Mr. Walls stated I would like for Mr. Moyer to give an overview.

Mr. Moyer stated by the way of introduction, a few months ago, management on behalf of the District brought forward to this Board a budget, which balanced with the current level of assessments. The Board approved that budget for the purpose of setting the public hearing today to consider any input from the public. This would be the meeting that, after public comment and amendments to the budget if they deem necessary, the Board will adopt the budget. We will then certify to the property appraiser and tax collector the assessment roll, which will then merge with other real estate taxes that you will all receive in November. The payment of your tax bill includes the non-ad valorem assessment of this District, and that is how we raise revenues to continue the operation and maintenance of the District. From the time that the initial budget was approved to this meeting, the Board refinanced a bond issue and recognized savings through that effort. Those savings we are going to utilize in the street lighting operation in order for us to continue to lower the obligations of this District and buy out leases. As Ms. Kassel so rightly pointed out, the street lights will still be owned by OUC, but the idea is that if at some point, we do not want to continue to pay the lease on these street lights because the interest rate on the lease is excessively high, given where we are today. It is in everyone's interest to try to buy out those leases as soon as possible, and the Board has a program to do that. The savings from the refinancing will be used for that purpose. As it affects each one of you individually, we do not and will not have to raise your assessments to continue the operations of the District.

Ms. Kassel stated that is not exactly true.

Mr. LeMenager stated unless like Ms. Kassel and myself who have paid off the debt portion of the assessments, it is an increase of 13.5%. Basically we are raising the operations and maintenance portion of the CDD assessment by 13.5%, which equals the

amount saved on the bond portion. Ms. Kassel and I actually voted to increase our assessments; however, this is going to save us millions of dollars. We may be looking at \$4.5 million on an off balance sheet financed item, which got slipped in more than 10 years ago. We noticed that and said that 10.5% does not make any sense to pay on what are effectively 20-year mortgages. We are going to do our best to see if we can get that paid off in six or seven years.

Mr. Berube stated there are a lot of variables, but if we use all of those bond savings, by the eighth year, we should be able to get rid of all of the leases. What we are going to do is spend about \$2 million in advance to save \$1 million in total. All of those numbers flow because you are paying them month-by-month now. It is really hard to figure it out. It depends on when you pull the trigger. However, the bottom line is, there is the potential to save millions of dollars at no additional cost to you. We should not have to raise your assessments for the foreseeable future. That is what everyone likes to hear. Of course, that also means that we are not going to cut any of the services that are going on out there right now. It is a matter of manipulating money. This is what it comes down to. We caught all of the low-hanging fruit and picked it already, and now we are going for the bigger, tougher-to-reach stuff. We are all residents here and pay the same assessments that you do. We are conscious of that and spend every dollar as if it is our own. That is where we are with the budget.

A Resident stated I looked at the budget this morning and did not see the refinancing reflected in the amortization schedule. It is still showing 6.75% or 7.25%. Has that been amended for the purpose of the budget today?

Mr. Moyer responded there were two schedules. One was the original amortization schedule on the 2004 bonds, which they put in there because they wanted to show how the monies within the 2004 bond funds were used as part of the bond refinancing. They also have a 2014 debt service schedule.

Mr. Berube stated it is in back of the supporting schedules on page 14. You look at it as split into two. The first half of the bond payment is 5%. The second half goes to 5.25%. It is a blended 5.15%.

The Resident stated I understand but I did not see the second schedule.

Mr. LeMenager stated that actually brings up a good point. Mr. Moyer, were you able to figure out where that \$400,000 went in line one of the amortization schedule for the 2014 bond issue?

Mr. Moyer responded yes. It all goes back to that deferred revenue discussion that we had. I actually brought a schedule with me that I will give you that shows next year we will pay \$900,000. We usually pay \$1.2 million. We will still do that but next year, your schedule shows that we are saving the \$300,000, but we are actually going to end up paying \$900,000 in interest next year rather than \$600,000. These are round numbers. When you add the principal amount, that gets you up to \$1.2 million.

Mr. Walls stated when you look at the assessment schedule, there is a deferred amount of roughly that same figure for next year.

Mr. LeMenager stated I just want to make sure that we do not have \$400,000 more to spend.

Mr. Moyer stated no, we do not. I wish I could tell you that we did.

Mr. Berube stated if there was \$400,000 to spend, he would have told us already.

On MOTION by Mr. LeMenager, seconded by Ms. Kassel, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to close the public hearing.

B. Consideration of Resolution 2014-06 Adopting the Budget for Fiscal Year 2015

Mr. Moyer read Resolution 2014-06 into the record by title.

Mr. Farnsworth stated toward the end of the document, there is almost a requirement that Resolution 2014-07 be passed first because it says “dependent on the assessments.”

Mr. Moyer stated we cannot certify the assessment without knowing what the budget is. It is in the right order.

On MOTION by Mr. LeMenager, seconded by Ms. Kassel, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to Resolution 2014-06 adopting the budget for fiscal year 2015.

C. Consideration of Resolution 2014-07 Confirming Special Assessments for Fiscal Year 2015

Mr. Moyer read Resolution 2014-07 into the record by title.

On MOTION by Ms. Kassel, seconded by Mr. LeMenager, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to Resolution 2014-07 confirming and levying the special assessments for fiscal year 2015.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Subcontractor Reports

A. Aquatic Plant Maintenance – Bio-Tech Consulting

Mr. Golgowski stated Bio-Tech was out earlier this week and other than the usual stuff, they were focusing on outfalls and some of the shrubs that are starting to pop up on the banks. As a result, you may see some brown spots in those locations. Otherwise, everything seems to be going well.

Mr. Don Rice stated as a fisherman, the canals in Buck Lake are completely clogged with water hyacinth and need to be sprayed because they are becoming non-navigable.

Mr. Golgowski stated they were out today working on Buck Lake. That is not a CDD item.

Mr. Berube stated they are probably opening those canals.

Mr. Golgowski stated I would expect so.

Ms. Kassel stated that is a developer obligation, not a CDD obligation.

Mr. Berube stated Mr. Golgowski will follow-up with Bio-Tech to keep track of that because it should not be overgrown.

B. Landscaping

i. Davey Tree Monthly Highlight Report

The monthly landscape maintenance report is contained in the agenda package and is available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

Mr. Rinard stated you have my monthly summary and my notes. For the most part, it is steady as she goes. We turned from wet to dry and hot. We are ready for cooler weather. For the most part, we are in good shape. There is a little chinch bug activity here and there. It is typical for this time of year. Right now with the organizational changes, we are shifting, restructuring, and resetting schedules with Mr. van der Snel now taking over and getting things moving in that direction. Hopefully Mr. van der Snel feels comfortable with us, and we are certainly here to help along the way. I would like to touch base about something from earlier in the month. We received an email from the manager from Ms. Rosemary Tschinkel with regard to the crepe myrtles.

Mr. LeMenager stated I would like to compliment you because your man was there within an hour of when I sent that email. I was impressed.

Mr. Rinard stated that is a function of being on property. We are seeing some leaf spotting on with the sycamores as well. During the course of the month, I did touch base about the crepe myrtles. We had done a root ball drenching. This is basically a function of water. It is not disease. It is water spotting. It is more aesthetic than anything from a health-related standpoint. We are not terribly concerned. On some of the sycamores, we lost some leaves, but we are seeing budding out on those, as well. We will continue to monitor and if they need another follow-up application, we will do the same thing. Relative to the height situation, historically it has been hands off with crepe myrtles. That has been by design. I think as we move forward and as the property continues to mature and we see those instances, we will take them as they come. The crepe myrtles are adjustable. I think we will take them on a case-by-case basis. On the trees and the diagnosis, we are still pending information from the University of Florida. When we spoke previously on that matter, we were looking towards the fall to do anything as far as replacements. I expect results any day.

Mr. Berube stated there was some recommendation for some drenching treatments. Are those in place?

Mr. Rinard responded yes. I made mention of this in last month's report. There is no guarantee on that prescription. Is it better to do it than not do it? Probably. I think it is important to understand that those chemicals and that prescription are designed to help improve the trees' ability to withstand the stress factors that they go through during the course of the year. Just like the saying goes, the healthier we are, the better we can ward off illness, and it is similar with landscaping.

Mr. Berube stated over the last couple of months, we had four projects/upgrades. Have all of those been completed?

Ms. Kassel responded those are done. It may be time to look into additional refurbishments.

Mr. Rinard stated the trees have been planted.

Mr. Berube stated I do not recall seeing invoices for those four projects.

Mr. Rinard stated it has been submitted.

Mr. Berube asked Mr. Haskett, have you seen the invoices for those projects?

Mr. Haskett responded we received them.

Mr. Farnsworth asked as trees throughout the community start maturing and getting larger and larger, at what point do they start getting topped out or attention given to their overall height?

Mr. Rinard responded as the years go by and they grow, we will look at that. For the most part, I am not a big fan of topping trees, especially the oaks. I prefer to let them grow naturally. Obviously at some point in time, there may be some encroachment and issues that have to be dealt with. Right now, I do not foresee where you would have to go from a topping standpoint.

Mr. Farnsworth stated I was mainly thinking of the ones right along the roadways. The ones planted several years ago are starting to get tall.

Mr. Rinard stated the height is there. The issue that is going to happen over the course of the next few years is from an encroachment standpoint to the front of residences. That is more from a selective pruning standpoint. I think the desired intent is to be as full as you can and generate that street cover as best as you can throughout the community.

Mr. Farnsworth stated ultimately the question came down to if it would require a separate contract to do that.

Mr. LeMenager stated that is kind of what we do now.

Mr. Berube stated we pay them a special rotating yearly trim of the outer trees along the main boulevards. Generally, the opposite year we do the inner roads. A lot of that includes rounding them off and trimming them back. We will probably have to get more detail. I agree with you.

Mr. LeMenager asked Mr. Moyer, how many people does Celebration employ just repairing sidewalks from trees destroying them?

Mr. Moyer responded we have two men who do nothing but replace concrete panels and two other guys who do nothing but wander around with grinders.

Mr. LeMenager stated basically it is a known design flaw of neighborhoods of this nature. Perhaps it is the kind of thing that we should actually address when we are laying out these new neighborhoods because right now we know if you put a tree as gigantic as a sand oak in a very narrow space between the road and the sidewalk, it will destroy that sidewalk. Perhaps as we are platting and laying out the new neighborhoods, we might actually want to take that into consideration because that is going to save us money over

time, just rethinking how trees are planted. It is not just us. It is Celebration and Baldwin Park. It is all the traditional neighborhoods that are designed with rear-load garages and that very narrow space there. That might be something to think about as you lay out plans for these new neighborhoods.

Mr. Berube stated if you recall, when we added a fourth staffer, half of the labor hours were designed to go into fixing sidewalks. I suspect as we get another year into this, we may need to put more labor hours on just to manage these sidewalks because it is getting out of control.

Mr. LeMenager stated as we design the new neighborhoods, perhaps we can keep that in the back of our minds.

Mr. Berube stated absolutely.

Mr. Walls stated several people have asked me if we were going to maintain the strip of grass and the shrubbery along the school at Cupseed Lane. I think it was an after-thought in terms of the maintenance. I do not know that we have a good irrigation set up.

Mr. Berube stated there was no irrigation there.

Mr. Walls stated I would like to look at fixing that because right now, a lot of the grass is dead. It is right across the street from people's houses. It looks really bad. I would like to see what it would cost to get some irrigation over there. I would also like to know what we need to do to renovate that area and at least get it up to par with what we have around there. That may be a spring item.

Mr. LeMenager stated that sounds like a good idea.

Mr. Berube stated we have gotten the school pretty much under control. Davey has thrown in their labor and were kind enough to take that on. Folks who live here need to know that they do all the maintenance in front of the school in the planter area. They did a big sprucing up on the entire school property. Before school started, they had guys there on multiple days. I noticed them cleaning up all the bushes because the County does not have staff to maintain their schools the way we would like for them to. Davey has been kind enough to throw in a lot of labor and keep the school up to a reasonable standard. We appreciate that. All of that is donated by Davey Tree and does not cost us a dime. Back to Ms. Kassel's point, our update list seems to take a long time. Do you want to go by the old update list or start again?

Ms. Kassel responded no. The list was updated not too long ago. Just a couple months ago, we had a new proposal. We can go from there. We need to find out what it is going to cost us, if the irrigation line item is going to come out of the miscellaneous landscaping budget or a different line item, and what the cost is going to be for refurbishing the school, at least in the Bluestem/Cupseed area. I do not know if you want to make that a priority with the school. I would know that before Mr. Rinard and I put our heads together for other projects we want to take on.

Mr. Berube stated Mr. Moyer, we have a \$3,997 line item called Construction. Is that dedicated money? That was left over from the docks when we were going to put the pedestals in. We ultimately put in the pedestals ourselves and did not spend the money.

Mr. Moyer responded that is the construction trust fund. We requisitioned that money and used it to pay for the picnic tables and park benches.

Mr. Berube stated it is not shown in the budget.

Ms. Kassel stated maybe next month.

Mr. Moyer stated we closed that account because we were paying fees on that amount.

Mr. Berube stated I was going to say that we should use that money for the irrigation if it was still available. Next month we will go over that.

Ms. Kassel stated we will go over the cost to refurbish that area if it is a priority, and we will know how much of that is set aside from what we want to spend. I am guessing we might want to spend another \$4,000 to \$6,000 on other refurbishments.

Mr. Berube stated next month, we will be spending money into next year's budget. We will discuss all of that. I do not know that we will get an irrigation proposal in time. There is no reason to do any work along Cupseed until we have the irrigation in place.

C. Field Manager

i. Dock and Maintenance Activities Report

The monthly dock and maintenance activities report is contained in the agenda package and is available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

ii. Buck Lake Boat Use Report

The monthly boat report is contained in the agenda package and is available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

Mr. Haskett stated Mr. van der Snel has been transitioning this month. He has been overseeing the staff since last month's meeting.

Mr. Berube stated I have been watching the transition. Mr. van der Snel has been very busy. The guys are great with the transition so far. I expected some bumps in the road and have not seen any. No one has said a word about any problems. They have been very busy. You probably noticed that the trailer has been moved. It is now over in the golf maintenance facility and is hooked up with everything except for the water and sewer. The electric is on.

Mr. van der Snel stated only sewer.

Mr. Haskett stated we are waiting on a permit.

Mr. Berube stated it also needs things like internet hookups, but the pods have been moved. All of our background stuff for the transition to a private employer has been moving along very well.

Mr. LeMenager asked when will that be complete?

Mr. Berube responded we will discuss that when we get to the employee transition that is later on in the agenda. The background work is all in place and Mr. van der Snel has been absorbing. He has been doing the key cards and various other tasks. It has been a smooth transition. Now he has a credit card so he can take over some of the purchasing.

Mr. Haskett stated it has been a good transition so far. Lots of questions are arising and we will get through all of them.

Mr. Berube stated so it is working out very well.

Mr. Haskett stated it is.

Mr. Berube stated there were three bills from Insight Irrigation. One had to do with the weather station setup and Freedom having locked out the router. You were going to go back to Freedom or the golf maintenance facility to try to get recoup for that bill.

Mr. Haskett stated correct.

Mr. Berube stated the other one is related to the weather station. I thought we were splitting the cost of maintaining the weather station with the golf maintenance facility.

Mr. Haskett stated we had in the past. We still can. I do not recall the detail.

Mr. Berube stated it was diagnosed and we replaced three M72000 communication boards at \$625 each. I presume that was for the weather station.

Mr. Haskett stated no. Those were individual controllers throughout the property. we replaced clock 1 and clock 4.

Mr. Berube stated these are Rainbird boards.

Mr. Haskett stated yes.

Mr. Berube stated everything was about the weather station so I figured it was all related to the weather station. Is the rain can tipping bucket assembly related to the weather station?

Mr. Haskett responded no. It is actually related to the Swim Club. There are two different rain cans. The one at the Swim Club is the one that turns the system off when it is raining. A couple of months when people saw irrigation running in the rain, that is the reason why. This is an upgraded version for a lesser price.

Mr. Berube stated I would be inclined to say for \$150, I do not know how much trouble it is to get the golf club to pay for that. What does the Board think? Do we want Mr. Haskett to go after the golf club to collect that \$150?

Ms. Kassel responded I do not think it is necessary.

Mr. Haskett stated we will negotiate it.

Mr. Berube stated last month, we had questions regarding the purchase of the Sprint phone and the water meter for \$1,000. Did you get any information regarding that?

Mr. Haskett responded the cell phone is being reimbursed by the employee as we said last month. Nothing has changed on that one.

Mr. Berube asked what about the water meter?

Mr. Glantz responded the water meter is not being reversed. It is going to be a CDD cost. The developer is not going to pick that up.

Mr. Walls asked was it a design flaw in the initial design of the landscaping?

Mr. Haskett responded absolutely not. It has always been designed for a water meter. A water meter was installed for the new system, which was the CDD's water meter. I am not really sure where the confusion is.

Mr. Berube stated what I noticed with the water meter is, there is no new account with that water meter. We had 30 accounts for multiple years, and we still have 30 accounts. Did this water meter replace an existing meter?

Mr. Haskett responded no. I did not see that in the invoices from Toho Water Authority. I am thinking it just missed a cycle from their billing, but it should reflect as an account not in addition to.

Mr. Berube stated we should be adding an account to go with that meter at some point.

Mr. Haskett stated yes.

Mr. Berube stated maybe it is a timing issue at this point.

Mr. Haskett stated most likely. The paperwork in last month's agenda package stated what the account number was and related information.

Mr. Berube stated so we have a water pipe coming in the ground and it is capped off and then we added a water meter. From the other side of the water meter, we add piping in the ground that feeds all of the sprinklers and valves. The developer paid for all of the installation of all the piping and valves and boxes.

Mr. Haskett stated yes.

Mr. Berube asked at no cost to the CDD?

Mr. Haskett responded correct.

Mr. Berube stated it is part of the infrastructure.

Mr. Haskett stated it is.

Mr. Berube asked why does the water meter become ours?

Mr. Haskett responded because we do not pay the water bill.

Mr. Glantz stated it is equivalent to someone who buys a brand new home. There is wiring throughout the home, and you, as the customer, have to pay for your electric meter to go on the side of your house. The builder does not pay for that. That is how it works traditionally. I spoke with Mr. Kent Foreman, who has been involved with this in the past, our civil engineers, and Mr. Joe Trammel, and asked for their advice. That is how I have always done it and we all came to the same conclusion. It was not like there was a question.

Mr. Farnsworth asked is this a one-time fee?

Mr. Berube responded yes. There was a \$1,000 bill last month for a water meter. I just questioned it because it is obviously part of the infrastructure. I asked why we pay for it when the other infrastructure was our responsibility. If that is the answer, we are done. I noticed that we purchased a new sump pump at the splash pad. In the past, we

had a flood because we had an electric sump pump. Then we went to a water-powered sump pump in case the electricity goes out so we do not have a flood. What is this new sump pump powered by?

Mr. Haskett responded electricity.

Mr. Berube asked in addition to the water-powered one?

Mr. Haskett responded yes. The electric one is in a recessed area.

Mr. Berube stated a couple of months ago, you were going to donate a shade structure from the Enrichment Center that was going to go into Ashley Park.

Mr. Haskett stated yes.

Mr. van der Snel stated it was brought in to change the color from black to white. It has been powder coated. We have the fabric. It is going to go there. I am very excited about it because it is going to look good. It is an ongoing process and it will be done.

Mr. Berube stated that is better than black.

Mr. van der Snel stated it is a good color.

Mr. Berube stated everything else is white. Last month an owner in the Green neighborhood asked about doggie pots. I think the answer was it was going to be installed momentarily.

Mr. Haskett stated yes. We have doggie pots in Orlando waiting on us to pick them up. We just have to get staff available to do that.

Mr. Glantz stated they have been busy moving the last couple of days.

Mr. Berube stated a similar question came up and the answer was that we surveyed it and we have enough there. When the resident asked that question last month, the answer was that we have some doggie pots on order. I just wanted to be sure we are on the same wavelength because if he comes back in a few months and asks where the doggie pots are, I want to have an answer.

Mr. Haskett stated it has always been in the report.

Mr. Berube stated that is fine.

Mr. Golgowski stated Bio-Tech did spray the canals today and will be back again next week to finish it up. They also sprayed in front of the fishing platform on the boardwalk area to get the weeds away so people are not tangling lines.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Developer's Report

Mr. Berube stated Mr. Glantz, congratulations on your meeting last night. You had a nice crowd.

Mr. Glantz stated we had a great crowd. I think the congratulations should go to everyone who showed up and showed an interest. They received some information directly from us. I want to thank my team that showed up. It is not about me; it is about my team. There are a lot of people who work very hard and specialize in what they do. Thank you. I apologize for deflecting some of the responses to the CDD, but that was the appropriate place. I also think that the residents and owners who showed up understand the difference among the HOA and the CDD and the developer's responsibilities. Hopefully over time it will become a little clearer. I know it is a little fuzzy. The example is the gentleman who asked a question and confused the CDD and the HOA. I think that is a continuing concern of what is HOA, what is CDD, and what is developer. We will attempt to do this periodically. I think the appropriate venue is going to be HOA meetings, which we should have periodically. Those HOA meetings will have this type of forum, and then we will continue on with HOA-type business.

Mr. Berube stated it sounds like a good idea.

Mr. Glantz stated I know that the Chairman sits on both Boards, so we will plan that accordingly. As far as a report is concerned, I mentioned last night that we are going to be starting development on two new communities. One will be called Hawthorne, which is Parcel H-2. The other is on Parcel F, which will be called Cherry Hill. They both will commence next month. Our engineer is here with us. He has permits close to being out of Osceola County. We have final bids coming in tomorrow from two contractors. We will let the contracts out within the next few weeks and have signed contracts and be ready to start. That is exciting. The work will be supervised by Trammel Webb. Some people had a chance to meet Mr. Chip Webb yesterday. He and his partner, Mr. Trammel, handle all of the development work for us. The local liaison will be Mr. Haskett in his new role. He will be taking a different role as Mr. van der Snel takes over a lot of those responsibilities: the day-to-day construction, correspondence, concerns, running out into the field to check something, and making sure the subcontractors are performing properly. Mr. Haskett will be attending all of the construction onsite meetings, which usually occur once a week. By no means is he in charge. The civil engineer, Mr. Boyd, is ultimately in charge along with Mr. Trammel, but we will have an

onsite liaison, which is very important. A question came up earlier about landscaping. Included in the plans for developing Parcels F and H-2, we must submit landscaping plans to Osceola County. The landscaping plans include the landscaping that we are going to be putting in easements or parcels within those two properties. The area where you have all of the trees along Five Oaks Drive is a different area that we are going to be planting crepe myrtles and a hedge row. Those will be in back of the trees. There will be a hedge line behind it. There will be a different line of shrubbery behind the trees.

A Resident asked do you realize that on that particular road, the people who bought those houses bought them with a golf view?

Mr. Glantz responded I am talking about Five Oaks Drive when you first come in off U.S. Hwy 192 up to the first roundabout on the right hand side. There are trees and there will be a new sign. There will be a hedge row behind the line of trees stretching up the right hand side and going around that sign. The lots will be behind the hedge row. We have a landscape plan that was submitted in Mr. Boyd's package to Osceola County. We can certainly share those with you. Those will be on Parcel H-2. Mr. Haskett has a copy and can provide a copy or we can provide you with an electronic copy.

Mr. LeMenager stated basically the only change you are proposing to public land would be the two Harmony signs at 45-degree angles.

Mr. Glantz stated I first want to address the question that came up about crepe myrtles growing into oaks. That hedge row will be behind it. Now we will talk about the entrance. We are going to be re-vamping the entrance as it was described yesterday. I thought Mr. Foreman did an excellent job describing not only is the signage going to be replaced, but we are also going to be enhancing the landscaping. He mentioned that some of the landscaping will need to be removed to accommodate the signs. They are also enhancing behind the signs. We have a detailed landscape plan, which demonstrates everything that we are going to do. Furthermore, the white fence is then going to continue up and off CDD property back onto developer property within the parcels.

Mr. LeMenager stated basically behind the sidewalk.

Mr. Glantz stated that is correct. The concept is to keep the entryway nice and wide and broad. Nobody has the sense of the landscaping and the imagery better than Mr. Foreman, who was involved with the project and community early on. If anyone on the Board is interested, we can make Mr. Foreman available to discuss the plans.

Mr. Berube stated we have taken several stabs at improving that entrance in the past with enhancing it and adding some color. It always looks good, but it is not bursting, which is the concept that I see now. It is going to be a welcoming entrance from the concept that I have seen.

Mr. Glantz stated yes. I mentioned about the front entry and the right hand side. On the left hand side, which is Parcel H-1 also known as Rosewood, ultimately there will be townhomes with the fronts of the townhomes on Five Oaks Drive. They will be alley loaded, or rear loaded. We project that those townhomes will be developed in two to three years. In the interim, we are going to be landscaping where townhome property is going to be located with a white picket fence to balance the white fence on the east side of the road. That will be at our expense on our property going up along that side. It will then jump over the street and continue up to the corner of Dawn Creek and Dark Sky Drive. That whole side will be paid for by the developer with the exception of the areas aforementioned on the front signs. As it relates to Butterfly Drive, which is Parcel F also known as Cherry Hill, we are going to be building a community across the street from the school, on the north side of Butterfly Drive. We are going to be landscaping on the north side of the pipeline easement within a landscape easement within our property. The person who buys a home from us or from the builder will have in their rear yard a 20-foot landscape easement on the downslope. We have had several questions from people who live on Butterfly Drive as to what they would be looking at. They will be looking at the trees that already exist close to the edge of the street, then the pipeline easement will remain grass, and there will be a landscaped hedge row with different size shrubbery and trees going along the length. That is the development and that is what they are doing. As mentioned earlier, the drive to remove the three trailers from plain sight is just about complete. Mr. Haskett is going to be working with Mr. Foreman to tidy up the area, which is on golf course property on the other side of the parking lot. He can speak specifically about that as I am not familiar with what they are doing. They will be leaving the gazebo there. As it relates to the area along the park just south of the lake where the Enrichment Center was, there is a gazebo with some tables, which are technically on developer land. Once Mr. Haskett is finished tidying up that area, it is going to be impossible to tell what is developer land and what is CDD land. The CDD land is on both sides. I think we are going to have to coordinate something with the CDD

to maintain these areas and provide access to these areas so everyone is paying their portion. I have some ideas. We are going to be making some suggestions to the CDD Board for some land swapping. Obviously it has to be done legally. We can perhaps swap some of the CDD land, which is to the east of our parcel, with a parking lot area. The parking lot area becomes CDD property. This seems to make more sense. We will talk about that as we develop plans. Mr. Foreman is looking at a plan and working with our civil engineer, Mr. Boyd, to come up with a plan to present to the CDD Board.

Mr. Berube asked are you thinking about the parking lot becoming ours as part of the expansion area for Lakeshore Park?

Mr. Glantz responded there are two parking areas there. One is a shell parking lot, which needs constant maintenance and does not look very good. Then you have a very old, cracked, paved asphalt parking lot that does not look very sharp. At the end of the day, the residents of the CDD end up with a freshly paved, nice parking lot or two parking lots, and we end up with real estate adjacent to it; there could be a win-win situation. This is part of the new people coming in and thinking about some of these items in a different way, wondering why we have a commercial parcel on the lake in the middle of the community. It does not seem to make a lot of sense, so we may re-look at that area. I am just giving you a taste of something that we are looking at. There was a question from the audience yesterday regarding access to Cat Lake about if it would be remaining or will it be a campground and so forth. I assume the Board understands that we have development parcels and we are talking about selling development parcels and developing development parcels. We are not talking about developing campgrounds or lakefront or wedding areas. It is unknown at this time if that area will be deeded to the CDD, the HOA, or maintained by the developer. I just want to make sure that this Board is clear. It seemed somewhat confusing when people were speaking yesterday.

Mr. Berube stated we are here to listen. I like the changes. It has been cooperative so far.

Mr. LeMenager stated you may not be aware of it, but at one time there was talk about putting a YMCA where the old school was. From your perspective, it was where the Enrichment Center was. Have you ever heard of that idea? I see you talking to Mr. Jim Lentz. I thought he was one of the drivers to get the YMCA to come here.

Mr. Glantz responded I am unfamiliar with it. This is the first time I have heard about it. I am working on a project in Boston right now, so I am familiar with imbedding YMCAs like you have in Lake Nona. There is a YMCA that is adjacent to a school, and you may be familiar with that. That is a great use of shared facilities. We can entertain that, but it is not on my radar right now.

Mr. LeMenager stated everyone is driving for some sort of health center or fitness center. That was the talk with what they were going to do with that land, which goes back five to six years. We will throw that out as an idea.

Mr. Glantz stated it could be an alternative. It is an interesting piece of property.

Mr. LeMenager stated part of the reason is, it was commercial.

Mr. Glantz stated it just does not seem like it is a natural place for a large, destination building, like a YMCA. It does not seem like that would be the setting, which you would want to have a YMCA. If one was planned, it would probably be more appropriate at the Village Center where people from the outside can use it. It would be a public facility rather than imbedding it in the community. I can look into that and have some correspondence with the YMCA.

Mr. Berube stated very good. We appreciate your assistance with the transition. We managed to get everything done very quickly. We still have a couple of bumps in the road, but we are getting there.

Mr. Glantz stated I think it is a tremendous improvement having the trailer and storage facilities outside of the golf maintenance area. We did install a fence in between, which is probably better in the end because you will have a designated area, and they will have a designated area, versus sharing an area.

Mr. Berube stated it is my understanding that we are going to have a fence all around the building. Is that correct? Is that the end result, or is the fencing completed?

Mr. Haskett responded the fencing is completed.

Mr. LeMenager stated the one thing that occurred to me after last month's meeting was that I wanted to compliment you on what seemed like a terrific job that you did in getting our guys moved over.

Mr. Berube stated we are still working on it.

Mr. LeMenager stated it is almost there.

Mr. Berube stated we are very, very close.

Mr. LeMenager stated I am sometimes quick to criticize. I thought you did a spectacular job.

Mr. Berube stated I appreciate that. The employee group has always been my driving force. When I saw this change coming up, I knew someone had to get involved.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS **District Manager's Report**

A. July 31, 2014, Financial Statements

Mr. Moyer reviewed the financial statements, which are included in the agenda package and are available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

Mr. Moyer stated through July 31, the District has collected 100% of our non-ad valorem assessments, which is a good thing and permits us to continue the operation and maintenance activities of the District. On the expenditure side, the Board has been very active this year, and we are on budget with most of our categories. Although, collectively when you take all of the expenditure categories, we are \$40,000 under budget at this point in time. We have a lot of programs, and it will be close at the end of the year.

B. Invoice Approval #172 and Check Run Summary

Mr. Moyer reviewed the invoices and check summary, which are included in the agenda package and are available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours, and requested approval.

On MOTION by Mr. Walls, seconded by Ms. Kassel, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the invoices, as presented.
--

C. Fiscal Year 2015 Meeting Schedule

Mr. Berube stated the fiscal year 2015 meeting schedule is in your agenda package. I do not think much has changed since the prior year. Everyone seems comfortable with it. Is there any reason to change the meeting schedule?

Mr. LeMenager responded I still like having half of the meetings in the morning and half in the evening.

Mr. Farnsworth stated I would like to discuss it again. We have swung the pendulum all the way to one side. I would be amenable to swinging it the other way for one year.

Mr. Berube stated I am in favor of having all morning meetings. A split schedule creates certain difficulties. We are slowly gaining an audience in the evening. When you

split the meetings to have half in the morning and half in the afternoon, it confuses people.

Mr. Farnsworth stated that is my objective is to split them.

Mr. Berube stated on the other hand, staff has to come at night, which is an inconvenience to some. I think we are comfortable with having evening meetings at this point. I do not hear anyone complaining about meeting schedules. When you look at other public meetings, everyone has a meeting somewhere between Monday and Thursday from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. There does not seem to be any perfect time. I am comfortable with the meeting schedule as it is. It is working.

On MOTION by Mr. Walls, seconded by Ms. Kassel, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the fiscal year 2015 meeting schedule, as presented.

D. Public Comments/Communication Log

The complaint log is contained in the agenda package and is available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

Mr. LeMenager stated I noticed that the resolution column is all blank in the communication log. It used to be filled in with Mr. Haskett's initials.

Mr. Haskett stated during the transition stage when Ms. Tschinkel was emailing everything to Mr. van der Snel. I was unaware that he was addressing them. It will be updated next month.

Mr. van der Snel stated everything is taken care of. In reference to an issue being taken care of within an hour of being sent, that is an email that I received, which is how I would like to work, to address it right away and get it complete.

E. Website Statistics

The website statistics are contained in the agenda package and are available for public review in the District Office during normal business hours.

F. Discussion of Staffing Alternatives

Mr. Berube stated last month we were set to go with Oasis Outsourcing. As it turned out, that did not happen for a couple of reasons. We are trying to encompass health care into this outsourcing situation. That held things up. Then Oasis Outsourcing submitted us to their underwriter. This did not work out because there are very few governmental agencies who have no employees that suddenly want to have an outsourced staff. They usually have employees that they want to outsource and technically, we never had

employees. What this has come down to is, we are still not done. I have one company that has approved us. It is not going to be Oasis Outsourcing. As it turns out, they went around in circles for about six weeks and ultimately they could not get us underwritten. The reason was that they came in too low. They were \$10,000 too cheap on their proposal. They had dropped off paperwork to Mr. Moyer's office and were ready to go, and then it just stopped. Ultimately we figured out why. They came back to us all apologetic, but they blew it. We have been going through replacement companies and trying to encompass health care and benefits. We have one approval so far. They do not handle their healthcare internally, but we have that covered. I do not think they have the best deal, and I am awaiting underwriting approval from what I consider to be the right company. We should hear from them tomorrow. We should still be able to meet our October 1 deadline and budget wise, we are still going to be okay. Healthcare is very important to all of us and to them and that has been a big hang-up. Everyone wants to shift you to the exchange, and that has not been the deal. We are very close. I have two backups to the seven requests out there. I found a company called Staffing Market. They take all of your information and put it out on the web to all of these professional employee organizations or PEOs.

Mr. LeMenager stated at the end of the day, we always have Severn Trent.

Mr. Berube stated I know. There are other alternatives as well.

Mr. LeMenager asked who does the Celebration CDD staff work for?

Mr. Moyer responded Severn Trent.

Mr. Berube stated counsel is okay with a PEO. These are the people that absorb the employment. I do not think going back to Severn Trent accomplishes that need realistically, and we do not want to go back to Severn Trent anyway.

Mr. Qualls stated I think it is a policy decision.

Mr. Berube stated I understand. They all have the same boilerplate contract so we are not directly involved in the employment. Mr. Qualls and Mr. Moyer have approved that. We know where we are going. It is just a matter of getting the best package for us and our staff. We will have this settled in the next four or five days, as soon as tomorrow. This is a very complex deal, which we have keep within budget.

Mr. Farnsworth asked was this \$10,000 a per-month fee?

Mr. Berube responded it was a yearly fee. They were \$10,000 off per year.

Mr. Farnsworth stated if they were off by no more than that, how did that compare to anybody else as far as the price?

Mr. Berube responded all of these companies work on a percentage of salary and various other services that you take. We are currently paying Harmony Development Company \$25,000 to \$26,000 a year for management of the employees. The current PEOs that I am looking at are within a couple of points of that number, generally slightly lower at \$23,000 or \$24,000.

Mr. Farnsworth asked what was Oasis?

Mr. Berube responded \$14,000.

Mr. Farnsworth stated that would put them in the same neighborhood of \$24,000 if you add the \$10,000.

Mr. Berube stated we are done with Oasis. I am not going back. You cannot do business like that. The day that they knew that they blew it, they should have acknowledged that. They still have not admitted that they blew it. What they said was that the way we classify your employees was wrong. Having staff climbing ladders to change bulbs on street lights was the underwriting disaster. Nowhere in any of our job descriptions did we say that guys were climbing ladders to change bulbs.

Mr. Farnsworth stated I am getting the jist of what is wrong. They essentially tried to weasel out of it by blaming us for something.

Mr. Berube stated exactly. They put a price on the table 15 minutes within the conversation. They did not know anything about us yet. All they knew was that we had four guys in a rough salary range, and they provided a price. The guy was really aggressive because they wanted the business, and then he could not back up his quote and did not want to admit that. That was the problem. He should have said something. There were emails going back and forth.

Mr. Moyer stated the email chain was pretty extensive.

Mr. Berube stated all they kept saying was that they were working on it. Everything was getting worked out, and then all of a sudden they blew it. At that point, I was done. There are other companies out there. All of these guys play the same way. Their quotes were within 1% or 2% of the final number. Most of them do not want to handle health care, which is the problem. We can handle that separately, but what that means for Mr. Moyer's office is, we would receive one bill for employee staffing services and another

bill from a healthcare company. The employee deductions would have to come back to us. It would be an administrative nightmare. It is better to have one bill. It has been an interesting experience. I did not know how many companies were out there that did that type of business, but as it turns out, there are 7,000+ PEOs in Florida and 75,000 across the country. People outsource their employees. It is a huge business. The big deal is workers compensation. Everyone wants their workers compensation coverage handled. The hold-up is getting underwritten. You have to give them a detailed job description, and they want to know who you are. We should have this settled shortly. I appreciate your comments.

A Resident asked is there only one boat working on Buck Lake? Yesterday, there was just one.

Mr. Berube responded I believe that both pontoon boats needed electric motors as they were more than four years old. We discussed this yesterday and have two motors on order that will probably be here in next week or so.

The Resident asked have we ever addressed having residents who want to purchase a boat and electric motor having dock space?

Mr. LeMenager responded you need to talk to the developer because they own the lake, not the CDD.

Mr. Berube stated we have a lake use agreement, which the developer controls. It would be up to them.

Mr. LeMenager stated it has been mentioned to the developer in the past, and their answer has always been no. However, we have a new developer now. Perhaps you can have this conversation again.

Mr. Berube stated I am sure that Mr. Glantz will put that onto his list of items to consider and come back to us at some point in the future.

Mr. Glantz stated I will have Mr. Golgowski and Mr. Haskett bring me up to speed on what occurred in the past. We can read some files. To clarify, it is the same developer, just different management and new personnel. We will consider it.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

Mr. Qualls stated I emailed two different lease agreements to the Board for the real property upon which the onsite field management office space will be located. I would

ask for a motion approving either one of those lease agreements. We need to do more wordsmithing. It basically comes down to how the automatic renewal notice will take place. It looks like right now the way it will take place is, the District will notify the landowner/developer if the District wishes to renew for an additional 12-month term. There is minor legalese.

Mr. Berube stated the mechanism for that is, either Mr. Moyer or you will have to have a reminder for nine months from now.

Mr. Qualls stated it is standard. We will handle it and flag it.

Mr. Berube stated we forget about the mechanisms.

On MOTION by Mr. LeMenager, seconded by Ms. Kassel, with all in favor, unanimous approval was given to the lease agreement for the onsite field management office space, as presented.
--

Mr. LeMenager stated they will sort it out. I had an idea on this particular topic. You just mentioned the land swap. The one thing that strikes me is that the original plan for this place never had any place set aside for the administration of what we have now. No one actually set aside CDD land for where we have our staff. To the extent that you want to do a swap for that parcel near the lake, I would love to consider how we could swap for something where we do not have to lease from the golf club where we could get kicked out in a year and actually think about where we would have our permanent CDD site.

Ms. Kassel stated this could be a permanent CDD site. I do not think that the developer is planning on changing the golf maintenance facility and developing that any further.

Mr. Glantz stated no. I think this is a long-term solution. I do not think everyone in the audience understands what we have been talking about.

Ms. Kassel stated for the purpose of the audience, we had a trailer by the community garden for field personnel. We had another trailer by the golf course also for the same purpose. Because they are unsightly, we have now moved them over and have a new trailer by the golf maintenance facility. That land is owned by the developer. In order to do it properly, we are engaging in a lease with the developer that we just approved where

we pay the developer a \$1.00 per year for the ability to use that land. The developer has given us a trailer.

Mr. Berube stated they have given us a 40-foot trailer valued at \$9,000 that they moved at their expense for our use. They have been very generous in that respect. Our big concern is, there is a lease and they can give us 60 days' notice to get out, and every year we have to give them 90 days' notice that we want to stay. There is a certain hesitation on everyone's part.

Ms. Kassel stated not everyone.

Mr. Berube stated there is a hesitation on some people's parts that within a year, the developer says get out and we have to go. As you heard from Mr. Glantz, I think the area we are in is fairly permanent. It is hidden. As he said, it is going to be long-term.

Mr. LeMenager stated we need to do a land swap.

Ms. Kassel stated I do not think it is necessary because we are paying \$1.00 a year.

Mr. LeMenager asked what happens when they are gone? Celebration had the same problem. They basically got taken by Disney and had to spend a small fortune to buy their Town Hall. The CDD owns a very attractive piece of land very close to the lake that is totally unused. If we can somehow leverage that into our permanent forever home for administration, it sounds like a good deal.

Ms. Kassel stated I see us swapping some very good property for a use that is not so desirable.

Mr. Berube stated Mr. Glantz has been here for three months and there is a lot on the table. We got a lot accomplished that we needed to get accomplished. I do not disagree with anybody's opinions, but we need to move forward with what we have. We have a whole year of watching what goes on and some land swaps coming up. Mr. Glantz is hearing the comments. Every time I have suggested something to him, there has been a positive response.

Mr. Glantz stated I believe there is a difference between a field office and a staff office. Mr. Berube and I have had some conversations about a permanent office to be solved with some other issues, which we are working on. As I mentioned in yesterday's meeting, we are looking at an age targeted 55 and older community, which perhaps would have its own recreation facility or maybe not. Somehow that may ultimately result in a permanent solution as you are describing. I would ask for your patience as it may

take a couple of months for us to work out the terms with the builder who would be building this facility, such as what type of amenity they would need and where it would be located. Somehow out of all of that, you may have a permanent office for the District. The wheels are turning and they are turning in a positive way, not in a selfish way. In terms of a field office, I do not see anything in the future happening. As it relates to the language that Mr. Qualls referred to, that is normal and customary legalese.

Mr. Berube stated I am happy with it. I read the lease and there is just boilerplate language.

B. Engineer

Mr. Boyd stated the contractor is ready to start on the repaving work. What is the proper way you would like us to notice residents? I am working with the contractor on a detailed description of when he is going to start. It will be two days before you can drive on the alley. In the meantime, you will not be able to use your garage. We will write this up. I just did not know if you wanted us to use flyers or mail those to specific residents.

Mr. Berube asked are door hangers a possibility?

Ms. Kassel stated I suggest using door hangers and signs.

Mr. LeMenager stated signs at the entrances.

Mr. Boyd stated we will have the exact dates next week.

Mr. LeMenager stated there are only two entrances in and out. We have to give people a few days' notice.

Mr. Berube asked is it a two-week process once the work commences?

Mr. Boyd responded yes, two weeks.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor Requests

Ms. Kassel stated since it was brought up at the Town Hall meeting last night, I think it would not hurt to have someone from Osceola County emergency management services to come to a CDD meeting and go on the record talking to us and answering any questions about the gas pipeline. He could discuss what type of preparedness and information would be useful to the community regarding the gas pipeline. It resolves an issue that has been brewing for months and months and can perhaps put it to bed.

Mr. LeMenager stated I am not sure that the CDD is the appropriate venue.

Mr. Berube stated it should be the HROA.

Mr. LeMenager stated we can have a meeting.

Mr. Berube stated there is only one person in the entire community and a relative who are questioning this.

Ms. Kassel stated the reason for doing it at a CDD meeting is (1) to have a meeting that many residents are going to attend, and (2) there will also be a public record that people can refer to in the future on a public website. That is why I was suggesting it.

Mr. Farnsworth asked are the HROA records are not?

Ms. Kassel responded they do not have minutes like we have.

Mr. LeMenager stated they do not. I do want to correct you. Last night you said 5% is the most an HOA can go up a year. It is 15%.

Mr. Berube stated our HOA.

Mr. LeMenager stated all HOAs can go up 15%.

Mr. Berube stated but it is in our documents that the most they can go up is 5%.

Mr. LeMenager stated I did not know ours actually said that.

Mr. Berube asked Mr. Qualls, what do you think about the Supervisor's suggestion about bringing in emergency management services and having a discussion regarding the gas pipeline?

Ms. Kassel stated it is about community safety.

Mr. Qualls responded I really see this as a policy decision. I can argue either way. I think this is a public forum. My concern would be if it is at a CDD meeting, even if you made clear that this had nothing to do with the CDD, it would be associated that way in people's minds. You may be dealing with a lot of non-CDD matters. Every meeting I ever sat in naturally deal with a lot of non-CDD matters. I think it is a policy decision. I would defer to any expertise from Mr. Moyer or Mr. Glantz.

Mr. Glantz stated it could be a Town Hall meeting.

Ms. Kassel stated the only reason why I suggest this would be less helpful is that it is not on the public record. The discussion does not go on the public record for people to refer to later.

Mr. Farnsworth stated the person bringing it up makes a big deal about public record and being able to refer back to things.

Mr. Walls stated the question is, who really cares. We sit here long enough and deal with important matters, and we should not deal with something that is not important.

Mr. Farnsworth stated Ms. Kassel has a point. It is valid.

Mr. Berube asked do you want to bring Osceola County in to discuss pipeline matters?

Mr. Farnsworth stated I am willing to but I would not say I want to, but yes.

Mr. LeMenager stated no.

Ms. Kassel responded yes. I brought this up as a courtesy. I could have just invited the Osceola County emergency services person here under Supervisors' requests and had him present. I can still do that. It is not a matter of being voted yes or no.

Mr. Berube stated I am just trying to get the feeling of the Board. I think it is inappropriate for this body and I will say no. If you want to exercise your rights to bring somebody in, feel free, but I would be much more comfortable bringing them to an HROA meeting and announcing to the residents that he is going to be here. It will probably draw in a larger crowd. If they do a Town Hall meeting like they did last night and offer refreshments, it will probably draw in another 100 to 150 people and have a much more effective presentation. That would be my suggestion.

Mr. Farnsworth stated I do not disagree with anything you said. I just want to reiterate that her main point was to get it on the record.

Ms. Kassel stated not only that. If you are going to have somebody like that come to a meeting and you are announcing it, then you are creating something of a concern where none may be warranted by announcing that emergency services is coming to a meeting to discuss the gas pipeline rather than just having it be part of a meeting.

Mr. LeMenager stated you can have just one item on a Town Hall meeting. Regardless of whether it is CDD, HOA, or a Town Hall meeting, it is just going to be one item on the agenda. I am curious, too.

Mr. Haskett stated being a former fire fighter, I believe the best person to explain the gas line is the gas line company. They come out to us all the time and explain to us where the gas lines are, how deep they are, how big they are, and any emergency precautions. They have plenty of representatives who would be happy to come out.

Mr. LeMenager stated we have had Toho Water Authority at these meetings. I would go for having the gas company come out. It is infrastructure.

Mr. Haskett stated it runs under your CDD's property.

Ms. Kassel stated that was my first inclination to have someone from the gas company pipeline. If you have people who are concerned about the pipeline as a safety

issue, they are going to be less inclined to feel comfortable with someone who is from the company than someone from the County who has less of a vested interest in presenting a pretty picture.

Mr. LeMenager stated Toho Water Authority made the presentation on the water.

Mr. Berube stated no one was here. Ms. Kassel, you are welcome to do what you want to do. Make your phone calls and decide which one you want to bring in, and let us know.

Ms. Kassel stated will do.

Mr. Berube asked how much time do you want to give them?

Ms. Kassel responded 15 minutes.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, September 24, 2014, at 6:00 p.m.

On MOTION by Ms. Kassel, seconded by Mr. LeMenager, with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned at 7:30 p.m.
--

Gary L. Moyer, Secretary

Steve Berube, Chairman